

Report under The Conservation of Habitats and  
Species Regulations 2017 (as amended),  
Regulation 9A

**2019-2024**

Conservation status assessment for the habitat:

**H1210 - Annual vegetation of drift lines**

**Wales**



**For further information please contact:**

Natural Resources Wales, Welsh Government Offices, Cathays Park, King Edward VII Avenue, Cardiff, CF10 3NQ. <https://naturalresources.wales>

JNCC, Quay House, 2 East Station Road, Fletton Quays, Peterborough, PE2 8YY.  
<https://jncc.gov.uk>

This report was produced by JNCC in collaboration with Natural Resources Wales.

**This document should be cited as:**

Natural Resources Wales and JNCC. (2026). Conservation status assessment for the habitat: H1210 Annual vegetation of drift lines.

This resource and any accompanying material (e.g. maps, data, images) is published by Natural Resources Wales under the Open Government Licence (OGLv3.0 for public sector information), unless otherwise stated. Note that some images (maps, tables) may not be copyright Natural Resources Wales; please check sources for conditions of re-use.

The views and recommendations presented in this resource do not necessarily reflect the views and policies of JNCC.


**Important note - Please read**

- The information in this document represents Wales Report under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), Regulation 9A, for the period 2019-2024.
- It is based on supporting information provided by Natural Resources Wales, which is documented separately.
- The Habitats Regulations reporting 2019-2024 Approach Document provides details on how this supporting information contributed to the UK Report and the fields that were completed for each parameter.
- Maps showing the distribution and range of the habitat are included.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) are included at the end. These provide additional audit trail information to that included within the assessments. Further underpinning explanatory notes are available in the related country reports.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; and/or (iii) the field was not relevant to this habitat (section 11 National Site Network coverage for Annex I habitats).


Further details on the approach to the Habitats Regulations Reporting 2019-2024 are available on the [JNCC website](#).

## Assessment Summary: Annual vegetation of drift lines

### Distribution Map



### Range Map



**Figure 1:** Wales distribution and range map for H1210 - Annual vegetation of drift lines. Coastline boundary derived from the Oil and Gas Authority's OGA and Lloyd's Register SNS Regional Geological Maps (Open Source). Open Government Licence v3 (OGL). Contains data © 2017 Oil and Gas Authority. The 10km grid square distribution map is based on available habitat records within the current reporting period.

**Table 1:** Table summarising the conservation status for H1210 - Annual vegetation of drift lines. Overall conservation status for habitat is based on assessments of range, area covered by habitat, structure and functions, and future prospects.

### Overall Conservation Status (see section 10)

**Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)**

### Breakdown of Overall Conservation Status

|  |                                     |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| <b>Range</b> (see section 4)                   | <b>Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)</b> |
| <b>Area covered by habitat</b> (see section 5) | <b>Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)</b> |
| <b>Structure and functions</b> (see section 6) | <b>Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)</b> |
| <b>Future prospects</b> (see section 9)        | <b>Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)</b> |

## List of Sections

|   |    |
|---|----|
| National Level .....  | 5  |
| 1. General information .....  | 5  |
| 2. Maps .....   | 5  |
| Biogeographical Level .....   | 5  |
| 3. Biogeographical and marine regions .....   | 5  |
| 4. Range .....  | 5  |
| 5. Area covered by habitat .....  | 7  |
| 6. Structure and functions .....  | 9  |
| 7. Main pressures .....   | 10 |
| 8. Conservation measures .....  | 11 |
| 9. Future prospects .....   | 12 |
| 10. Conclusions .....   | 12 |
| 11. UK National Site Network (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types . . | 13 |
| 12. Complementary information .....   | 14 |
| 13. References .....  | 15 |
| Biogeographical and marine regions .....  | 15 |
| Main pressures .....  | 20 |
| 14. Explanatory Notes .....   | 21 |

## National Level

### 1. General information

|                  |  |
|------------------|--|
| 1.1 Country      | Wales                                    |
| 1.2 Habitat code | H1210 - Annual vegetation of drift lines |

### 2. Maps

|                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2.1 Year or period                | 1989-2022  |
| 2.2 Distribution map              | Yes  |
| 2.3 Distribution map; Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |

#### 2.4 Additional information

No additional information

## Biogeographical Level

### 3. Biogeographical and marine regions

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 3.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the habitat occurs | ATL |
|---|-----|

#### 3.2 Sources of information

See section 13 References

### 4. Range

|                                     |           |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 4.1 Surface area (km <sup>2</sup> ) | 4,422.02  |
| 4.2 Short-term trend; Period        | 2013-2024 |
| 4.3 Short-term trend; Direction     | Stable    |
| 4.4 Short-term trend; Magnitude     |           |
| a) Estimated minimum                |           |

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>b) Estimated maximum</b>                                       |  |
| <b>c) Pre-defined range</b>                                       |  |
| <b>d) Unknown</b>   |  |
| <b>e) Type of estimate</b>  |  |
| <b>f) Rate of decrease</b>  |  |
| <b>4.5 Short-term trend; Method used</b>                          | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate       |
| <b>4.6 Long-term trend; Period</b>                                |  |
| <b>4.7 Long-term trend; Direction</b>                             |  |
| <b>4.8 Long-term trend; Magnitude</b>                             |  |
| <b>a) Minimum</b>   |  |
| <b>b) Maximum</b>   |  |
| <b>c) Rate of decrease</b>  |  |
| <b>4.9 Long-term trend; Method used</b>                           |  |
| <b>4.10 Favourable Reference Range (FRR)</b>                      |  |
| <b>a) Area (km<sup>2</sup>)</b>                                   |  |
| <b>b) Pre-defined increment</b>                                   | Current range is between 2% and 10% smaller than the FRR |
| <b>c) Unknown</b>   | No   |
| <b>d) Method used</b>   | Reference-based approach                                 |
| <b>e) Quality of information</b>                                  | moderate   |
| <b>4.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range</b> |  |
| <b>a) Change</b>  | Yes  |
| <b>b) Genuine change</b>  | No   |
| <b>c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data</b>                | Yes  |

|                            |                                       |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>d) Different method</b> | Yes                                   |
| <b>e) No information</b>   | No                                    |
| <b>f) Other reason</b>     | No                                    |
| <b>g) Main reason</b>      | Improved knowledge/more accurate data |

#### 4.12 Additional information

No additional information

### 5. Area covered by habitat

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>5.1 Year or period</b>                | 1989-2022  |
| <b>5.2 Surface area (km<sup>2</sup>)</b> |  |
| <b>a) Minimum</b>                        |  |
| <b>b) Maximum</b>                        |  |
| <b>c) Best single value</b>              | 0.393  |
| <b>5.3 Type of estimate</b>              | Best estimate                                      |
| <b>5.4 Surface area; Method used</b>     | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |
| <b>5.5 Short-term trend; Period</b>      |  |
| <b>5.6 Short-term trend; Direction</b>   | Unknown  |
| <b>5.7 Short-term trend; Magnitude</b>   |  |
| <b>a) Estimated minimum</b>              |  |
| <b>b) Estimated maximum</b>              |  |
| <b>c) Pre-defined range</b>              |  |
| <b>d) Unknown</b>                        |  |
| <b>e) Type of estimate</b>               |  |
| <b>f) Rate of decrease</b>               |  |
| <b>5.8 Short-term trend; Method used</b> | Insufficient or no data available                  |
| <b>5.9 Long-term trend; Period</b>       | 1989-2022  |



|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>5.10 Long-term trend; Direction</b>                            | Stable  |
| <b>5.11 Long-term trend; Magnitude</b>                            |   |
| <b>a) Minimum</b>   |   |
| <b>b) Maximum</b>   |   |
| <b>c) Confidence interval</b>                                     |   |
| <b>d) Rate of decrease</b>  |   |
| <b>5.12 Long-term trend; Method used</b>                          | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate      |
| <b>5.13 Favourable Reference Area (FRA)</b>                       |   |
| <b>a) Area (km<sup>2</sup>)</b>                                   |   |
| <b>b) Pre-defined increment</b>                                   | Current area is between 2% and 10% smaller than the FRA |
| <b>c) Unknown</b>   | No  |
| <b>d) Method used</b>   | Reference-based approach                                |
| <b>e) Quality of information</b>                                  | moderate  |
| <b>5.14 Change and reason for change in surface area of range</b> |   |
| <b>a) Change</b>  | Yes   |
| <b>b) Genuine change</b>  | No  |
| <b>c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data</b>                | Yes   |
| <b>d) Different method</b>  | Yes   |
| <b>e) No information</b>  | No  |
| <b>f) Other reason</b>  | No  |
| <b>g) Main reason</b>   | Improved knowledge/more accurate data                   |
| <b>5.15 Additional information</b>                                |   |

No additional information

## 6. Structure and functions

### 6.1 Condition of habitat (km<sup>2</sup>)

#### Area in good condition

|             |        |
|-------------|--------|
| ai) Minimum | 0.2076 |
|-------------|--------|

|              |        |
|--------------|--------|
| aii) Maximum | 0.2076 |
|--------------|--------|

#### Area not in good condition

|             |        |
|-------------|--------|
| bi) Minimum | 0.0505 |
|-------------|--------|

|              |        |
|--------------|--------|
| bii) Maximum | 0.0505 |
|--------------|--------|

#### Area where condition is unknown

|             |        |
|-------------|--------|
| ci) Minimum | 0.1349 |
|-------------|--------|

|              |        |
|--------------|--------|
| cii) Maximum | 0.1349 |
|--------------|--------|

|  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 6.2 Condition of habitat;<br>Method used | Insufficient or no data available |
|--|-----------------------------------|

### 6.3 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition; Period

|  |         |
|--|---------|
| 6.4 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition;<br>Direction | Unknown |
|--|---------|

|  |                                   |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| 6.5 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition;<br>Method used | Insufficient or no data available |
|--|-----------------------------------|

### 6.6 Typical species

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Has the list of typical species changed in comparison to the previous reporting period? | No |
|---|----|

### 6.7 Typical species; Method used

### 6.8 Additional information

Typical species were not used directly in the assessment of conservation status for habitat structure and function as a comprehensive list of typical species for each habitat was not available. However, the status of typical species was considered when the

condition of individual sites was assessed using Common Standards Monitoring Guidance. Common Standards Monitoring (CSM) data was used to assess the area of habitat in 'good' and 'not good' condition (field 6.1). Species were a component of the attributes assessed under CSM. Therefore, an assessment of species is considered to have formed part of the reporting under field 6.1 which supported the Habitats Structure and Function assessment (field 10.3).

## 7. Main pressures

### 7.1 Characterisation of pressures

**Table 2:** Pressures affecting the habitat, including timing and importance/impact ranking. Pressures are defined as factors acting currently and/or during the reporting period (2019–2024). Rankings are: High (direct/immediate influence and/or large spatial extent) and Medium (moderate direct/immediate influence, mainly indirect and/or regional extent).

| Pressure   | Timing                                 | Ranking    |
|--|--|------------|
| PF04: Development and maintenance of beach areas for tourism and recreation        | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | High (H)   |
| PF05: Sports, tourism and leisure activities                                       | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | High (H)   |
| PF15: Modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions for built-up areas | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | High (H)   |
| PJ01: Temperature changes and extremes due to climate change                       | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | Medium (M) |
| PJ03: Changes in precipitation regimes due to climate change                       | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | Medium (M) |
| PJ04: Sea-level rise due to climate change   | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | Medium (M) |
| PJ06: Wave exposure changes due to climate change                                  | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | High (H)   |
| PJ07: Cyclones, storms, or tornados due to climate change                          | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | High (H)   |
| PJ10: Change of habitat location, size, and / or quality due to climate change     | Ongoing and likely to be in the future | High (H)   |

### 7.2 Sources of information

See section 13 References

### 7.3 Additional information

No additional information

## 8. Conservation measures

### 8.1: Status of measures

a) Are measures needed? Yes

b) Indicate the status of measures Measures identified, but none yet taken

### 8.2 Main purpose of the measures taken

### 8.3 Location of the measures taken

### 8.4 Response to measures

### 8.5 List of main conservation measures

**Table 3:** Key conservation measures addressing current pressures and/or anticipated threats during the next two reporting periods (2025–2036). Measures are ranked by importance/impact: High (direct/immediate influence and/or large spatial extent) and Medium (moderate direct/immediate influence, mainly indirect and/or regional extent).

| Conservation measure   | Ranking    |
|--|------------|
| MF02: Habitat restoration of areas impacted by residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure, operations and activities  | High (H)   |
| MF03: Reduce impact of outdoor sports, leisure and recreational activities (incl. restoration of habitats)   | High (H)   |
| MF08: Manage changes in hydrological and coastal systems and regimes for construction and development (incl. restoration of habitats).   | High (H)   |
| MH03: Reduce impact of other specific human activities   | High (H)   |
| MJ02: Implement climate change adaptation measures   | High (H)   |
| MM01: Management of habitats (others than agriculture and forest) to slow, stop or reverse natural processes that occur without direct or indirect influence from human activities or climate change | Medium (M) |

### 8.6 Additional information

No additional information

## 9. Future prospects

### 9.1a Future trends of parameters

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| ai) Range                   | Overall stable                           |
| bi) Area                    | Unknown                                  |
| ci) Structure and functions | Negative - slight/moderate deterioration |

### 9.1b Future prospects of parameters

|                              |         |
|------------------------------|---------|
| aii) Range                   | Poor    |
| bii) Area                    | Unknown |
| cii) Structure and functions | Poor    |

### 9.2 Additional information

No additional information

## 10. Conclusions

|   |                              |
|---|------------------------------|
| 10.1 Range  | Unfavourable-inadequate (U1) |
| 10.2 Area   | Unfavourable-inadequate (U1) |
| 10.3 Specific structure and functions (incl. typical species) | Unfavourable-inadequate (U1) |
| 10.4 Future prospects   | Unfavourable-inadequate (U1) |
| 10.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status                | Unfavourable-inadequate (U1) |
| 10.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status                     | Unknown                      |

### 10.7 Change and reason for change in conservation status

This field is not reported as the period 2019-2024 marks the first instance in which conservation status has been assessed at the national level, meaning no comparisons to previous reports can be drawn.

## 10.7 Change and reason for change in conservation status trend

This field is not reported as the period 2019-2024 marks the first instance in which conservation status has been assessed at the national level, meaning no comparisons to previous reports can be drawn.

## 10.8 Additional information

No additional information

## 11. UK National Site Network (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex I habitat types

### 11.1 Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network (km<sup>2</sup>)

#### a) Minimum

#### b) Maximum

|                      |        |
|----------------------|--------|
| c) Best single value | 0.2877 |
|----------------------|--------|

|                       |               |
|-----------------------|---------------|
| 11.2 Type of estimate | Best estimate |
|-----------------------|---------------|

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 11.3 Habitat area inside the network; Method used | Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate |
|---|--|

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| 11.4 Short-term trend of habitat area within the network; Direction | Unknown |
|---|---------|

|   |                                   |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 11.5 Short-term trend of habitat area within the network; Method used | Insufficient or no data available |
|---|-----------------------------------|

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| 11.6 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network; Direction | Unknown |
|---|---------|

|   |                                   |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 11.7 Short-term trend of habitat area in good condition within the network; Method used | Insufficient or no data available |
|---|-----------------------------------|

### 11.8 Additional information

No additional information

## **12. Complementary information**

### **12.1 Justification of percentage thresholds for trends**

No justification information

### **12.2 Other relevant information**

No other relevant information

## 13. References

### Biogeographical and marine regions

#### 3.2 Sources of information

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., & Holder, C. (1992a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 120 Tai Morfa, Dwyfor Wales 1991 (JNCC Report No. 86; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., & Holder, C. (1992b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 129 Kinmel Bay, Colwyn, Wales 1991 (JNCC Report No. 98; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., & Holder, C. (1994). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 113 Dunes between Tywyn & Aberdovey, Meirionnydd, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 81; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., & Holder, C. (1995). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 125 Tywyn Gwyn, Anglesey, Ynys Mon, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 94; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., McConnell, A., & Smart, S. (1995a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 108 Whitesands Bay, Preseli, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 71; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., McConnell, A., & Smart, S. (1995b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 110 Poppit Sands, Preseli, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 73; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1992a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 111 Towyn Warren, Ceredigion, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 79; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1992b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 112 Ynyslas, Ceredigion, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 80; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1992c). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 115 Morfa Dyffryn Meirionnydd. (JNCC Report No. 90; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.



Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1994). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 100 Pendine Burrows, Carmarthen, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 78; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1994). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 105 Stackpole Warren, Barafundle Bay and Broad Haven South Pembrokeshire, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 69; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1995a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 104 Freshwater Bay East, South Pembrokeshire, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 66; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1995b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 131 Gronant to Talacre, Delyn, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 46; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., & Holder, C. (1992a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 128 Conwy and Deganwy dunes, Aberconwy Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 97; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., & Holder, C. (1992b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 130 Dunes between Rhyl and Prestatyn, Rhuddlan, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 99; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., & Holder, C. (1992c). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 132 Penrhynoedd-Llangadwaladr, Ynys Mon Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 100; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Holder, C., & Duckworth, J. (1994). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 119 Traeth Crugan, Dwyfor, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 85; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Holder, C., & Duckworth, J. (1995). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 103 Manorbier & Swanlake Bay, South Pembrokeshire, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 65; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1992). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 114 Fairbourne, Meirionnydd, Wales 1991 (JNCC Report No. 82; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1994a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 106 Broomhill & Kilpaison Burrows, South Pembrokeshire, Wales 1991.

(JNCC Report No. 70; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1994b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 117 Morfa Bychan, Meirionnydd, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 83; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1995). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 180 The Bennett, Preseli, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 72; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Ashall, J., Holder, C., Smart, S., & Duckworth, J. (1994). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 115 Morfa Harlech, Meirionnydd, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 91; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Burden, A., Smeaton, C., Angus, S., Garbutt, A., Jones, L., Lewis, H. D., & Rees, S. M. (2020). Impacts of climate change on coastal habitats, relevant to the coastal and marine environment around the UK [Pdf]. MCCIP Science Review 2020, 28 pages. <https://doi.org/10.14465/2020.ARC11.CHB>

Dargie, T. C. (1995). Sand dune vegetation survey of Great Britain: A national inventory. 3: Wales. JNCC.

Duckworth, J., & Holder, C. (1995a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report No. 126 Traeth Lligwy & Traeth Dulas, Anglesey, Ynys Mon, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 95; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Duckworth, J., & Holder, C. (1995b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report No. 127 Red Wharf Bay, Ynys Mon, Anglesey, Wales. 1991. (JNCC Report No. 96; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1992). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report No. 118 Dunes between Pwllheli and Pen-y-chain, Dwyfor, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 84; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1995a). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report No. 121 Morfa Dinlle, Arfon, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 87; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1995b). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report No. 123 Aberffraw, Ynys Mon, Wales, 1991. (JNCC Report No. 45; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Duckworth, J., Holder, C., & Smart, S. (1995c). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report No. 124 Valley airfield and golf links, Ynys Mon, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 93; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Gillis, M. H., & Heathcote, S. (2024). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Oxwich Burrows. (NRW Evidence Report No. 839; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 54). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S. (2024a). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Crymlyn and Baglan Burrows. (NRW Evidence Report No. 836; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 59). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S. (2024b). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Morfa Bychan. (NRW Evidence Report No. 838; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 53). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S. (2024c). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Penmaen Burrows. (NRW Evidence Report No. 840; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 46). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., Finch, R., Carter, R., Ruffino, L., Pickard, M., Sutton, M., & Lamacraft, D. (2022). Dynamic Dunes National Vegetation Classification Survey, NRW Evidence Report Series. Report No. 659, 224pp,. Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., Finch, R., & Harrison, J. (2022). National Vegetation Classification Survey of coastal shingle sites in Wales 2022 (NRW Evidence Report No. 688; NRW Evidence Report Series). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., Finch, R., Lamacraft, D., Orange, A., Ruffino, L., Sheehan, K., Stewart, B., Thomas, L., & Toop, C. (2022). Sands of LIFE National Vegetation Classification (NVC) Survey. Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., Gillis, M., Wallis, S., & Tomas, L. (2024a). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Carmarthen Bay Dunes Special Area of Conservation (NRW Evidence Report No. 809; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 74).

Heathcote, S., Gillis, M., Wallis, S., & Tomas, L. (2024b). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Kenfig Special Area of Conservation. (NRW Evidence Report No. 814; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 65). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., Gillis, M., Wallis, S., & Williams, S. (2024a). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Morfa Harlech a Morfa Dyffryn Special Area of Conservation. (NRW Evidence Report No. 811; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 67). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., Gillis, M., Wallis, S., & Williams, S. (2024b). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Y Twyni o Abermenai i Aberffraw Special Area of Conservation. (NRW Evidence Report No. 810; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 77). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., & Jones, J. (2024a). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Cymyran (NRW Evidence Report No. 837; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 53). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., & Jones, J. (2024b). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Pennard. (NRW Evidence Report No. 842; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 44). Natural Resources Wales.

Heathcote, S., & Jones, J. (2024c). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Tywyn Llwyn Tywyn Fferam. (NRW Evidence Report No. 841; NRW Evidence Report Series). Natural Resources Wales.

Holder, C., Duckworth, J., & Ashall, J. (1994). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 102 Lydstep, South Pembrokeshire, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 64; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Holder, C., Smart, S., & McConnell, A. (1994). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 101 Caldey Island, South Pembrokeshire, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 63; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Huckbody, A., May, S., & Rhind, P. M. (1993). Sand dune survey of Great Britain. Site report no. 107 Brownslade & Linney Burrows, South Pembrokeshire, Wales 1991. (JNCC Report No. 67; Sand Dune Survey of Great Britain). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

NRW. (2025). Regulation 9A H1210 Annual vegetation of drift lines Extent Layer [GIS Map Layer]. Natural Resources Wales.

Rodwell, J. S. (with Nature conservancy council). (2000). British plant communities. Volume 5. Maritime communities and vegetation of open habitats. (Vol. 5). Cambridge University Press.

Sneddon, P., & Randall, R. E. (1993a). Coastal vegetated shingle structures of Great Britain: Appendix 1—Wales. In Coastal vegetated shingle structures of Great Britain: Vol. Appendix 1-Wales. Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

Sneddon, P., & Randall, R. E. (1993b). Coastal vegetated shingle structures of Great Britain—Main Report. JNCC

Williams, S., & Heathcote, S. (2024). Condition assessments for sand dune habitats at Tywyn Trewan Common. (NRW Evidence Report No. 843; NRW Evidence Report Series, p. 56). Natural Resources Wales.

## **Main pressures**

### **7.2 Sources of information**

No sources of information

## 14. Explanatory Notes

| Field label                           | Note   |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2.3: Distribution map;<br>Method used | <p>The 10km square distribution and habitat area estimates are derived from a combination of different original sources, summarised below. A single updated aggregated GIS layer has been created for this habitat across Wales (data source 1 below) joining together the maps and records from the other listed sources.</p> <p>Data source 1 (MAIN DATA SOURCE): Digital GIS Map Layer: Reg 9A H1210 Annual vegetation of drift lines Extent Layer 2025 (NRW, 2025) . This GIS layer (updated in 2025) supersedes the layer produced for the 2019 Article 17 submission.</p> <p>Data source 2 (MAIN DATA SOURCE): Sands of LIFE National Vegetation Classification (NVC) survey (Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022), is a vegetation survey of the Sands of LIFE sand dune sites using the UKs National Vegetation Classification (NVC) (Rodwell, 2000).</p> <p>Data source 3 (MAIN DATA SOURCE): Dynamic Dunescapes National Vegetation Classification (NVC) survey (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022), is a vegetation survey of the Dynamic Dunescapes Welsh sand dunes sites using the UKs National Vegetation Classification (NVC) (Rodwell, 2000).</p> <p>Data source 4 (MAIN DATA SOURCE): National Vegetation Classification Survey of coastal shingle sites in Wales 2022 (Heathcote, Finch, &amp; Harrison, 2022), is a vegetation survey of the vegetated shingle structures around the coastline of Wales using the UKs National Vegetation Classification (NVC) (Rodwell, 2000) and Coastal Vegetated Shingle communities defined by Sneddon and Randall (Sneddon &amp; Randall, 1993b).</p> |

---

Data source 5 (MAIN DATA SOURCE): Sand Dune Vegetation Survey of Great Britain Part 3 – Wales (Dargie, 1995). This was a comprehensive survey of all sand dunes in Wales (Ashall, Duckworth, & Holder, 1992a, 1992b, 1994, 1995; Ashall, Duckworth, Holder, et al., 1992a, 1992b, 1992c, 1994a, 1994b; Ashall, Duckworth, Holder, McConnell, et al., 1995a, 1995b; Ashall, Duckworth, Holder, & Smart, 1995a, 1995b; Ashall et al., 1994, 1994, 1994, 1994, 1995, 1995; Ashall, Holder, et al., 1992; Ashall & Holder, 1992a, 1992b, 1992c; Duckworth et al., 1992, 1995a, 1995b, 1995c; Duckworth & Holder, 1995a, 1995b; Holder, Duckworth, et al., 1994; Holder, Smart, et al., 1994; Huckbody et al., 1993) based on the UK's National Vegetation Classification (NVC) (Rodwell, 2000).

The H1210 vegetation equates to NVC communities: SD2 Honkenya peploides – Cakile maritima strandline; SD3 Matricaria maritima – Galium aparine strandline; MC6 Atriplex prostrata – Beta vulgaris ssp. maritima sea-bird cliff.

The H1210 habitat is found in 47, 10km grid squares, the distribution differs to that reported in 2013 (23 grid squares), however, this is due to the use of recent surveys (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022; Heathcote, Finch, & Harrison, 2022; Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022) rather than a genuine change in the distribution of the feature.

The data presented in this report is considered to give good representation of the current distribution and extent of this habitat.

---

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 4.3: Short-term trend;<br>Direction | There is no evidence of actual change in the range of this habitat since the last report in 2018. |
| 4.4: Short-term trend;<br>Magnitude | Not applicable (no decrease in range), i.e. 'stable' see 4.3                                      |
| 4.8: Long-term trend;<br>Magnitude  | Not applicable (no decrease in range)   |

---

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 4.11: Change and reason for change in surface area of range | <p>There is no evidence of actual change in the range of this habitat in Wales since the last report in 2018. However, more 10km<sup>2</sup> grid squares have been recorded compared to 2018, this is due to the use of recent surveys (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022; Heathcote, Finch, &amp; Harrison, 2022; Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022) confirming presence or absence of the habitat, rather than a genuine change in the distribution of the feature.</p>  |
| 5.2: Surface area   | <p>Surface area figure has been generated from recent National Vegetation Classification (NVC) survey data from the Survey of coastal shingle sites in Wales, Sands of Life and Dynamic Dunescape projects (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022; Heathcote, Finch, &amp; Harrison, 2022; Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022). These datasets have been combined with two older datasets covering the remainder of the sand dune (sand dune survey of Wales (SDSW)) and shingle sites in Wales (Dargie, 1995; Sneddon &amp; Randall, 1993a).</p> <p>Analysis of the 2022 data (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022; Heathcote, Finch, &amp; Harrison, 2022; Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022) compared against the Sand Dune Vegetation Survey of Wales (Dargie, 1995) and the shingle sites in Wales survey (Sneddon &amp; Randall, 1993a) shows that the habitat has changed in extent and area on some sites due to changes in coastal morphology, vegetation succession and changes in management.</p> <p>Overall increase in recorded area of 26.1 ha (66.4%).</p> |
| 5.3: Type of estimate                                       | <p>The Survey of coastal shingle sites in Wales, Sands of Life and Dynamic Dunescape projects (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022; Heathcote, Finch, &amp; Harrison, 2022; Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022) have provided a comprehensive (but not complete) representation of the habitat in Wales. These datasets have been combined with two older datasets covering the remainder of the sand dune (sand dune survey of Wales (SDSW)) and shingle sites in Wales (Dargie, 1995; Sneddon &amp; Randall, 1993a).</p>   |



|  |   |
|--|---|
| 5.6: Short-term trend;<br>Direction                | The habitat is very susceptible to damage from trampling and has been affected by vehicle access and parking. There is also concern that shoreline structures such as groins and seawalls are disrupting coastal processes and causing sediment starvation in places, which suggest that there may be localised losses at some sites.   |
| 5.8: Short-term trend;<br>Method used              | Little to no contemporary information exists on short term trends of area for this feature. Recent vegetation surveys (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022; Heathcote, Finch, & Harrison, 2022; Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022) will allow future losses or gains to be mapped. However, due to the ephemeral and dynamic nature of the habitat, fluctuations in area and extent are expected and unless attributed to known pressures, are deemed to be natural for this habitat type. |
| 5.14: Change and reason for change in surface area | The change in the estimated area of this habitat is the result of the re-analysis of existing survey data (see section 5.2) in addition to the more accurate data for the areas of Annual vegetation of driftlines identified through recent survey work from the Survey of coastal shingle sites in Wales, Sands of Life and Dynamic Dunescape projects (Heathcote, Finch, Carter, et al., 2022; Heathcote, Finch, & Harrison, 2022; Heathcote, Finch, Lamacraft, et al., 2022).                     |
| 6.2: Condition of habitat; Method used             | There is only one SAC in Wales with this Annex I habitat (Dee Estuary SAC) and unfortunately this habitat has not been assessed in terms of Common Standards Monitoring in this reporting round. However, the habitat has been condition assessed as part of the Sands of LIFE and Dynamic Dunescape projects where it occurred within project sites with 9 sites reported to be in favourable condition.   |
| 7.1: Characterisation of pressures                 | The special sites (SSSI and SAC) include 86% of the H1210 resource in Wales by area.  |

Pressures:

Six pressures are ranked as having a High impact:

---

PF04: Development and maintenance of beach areas for tourism and recreation – Beach cleaning is a significant pressure on the Annual vegetation of driftlines habitat, where the activity removes the precursors to the strandline habitat development and thereby affecting any natural expansion of the habitat.

PF05: Sports, tourism and leisure activities – Annual vegetation of driftlines habitat is exposed to a high degree of pressure from sports, tourism and leisure activities, leading to damage of the habitat through trampling and general disturbance. Vehicle access to beaches is damaging the Annual vegetation of driftlines habitat on at least one site in Wales.

PF15: Modification of coastline, estuary and coastal conditions for built-up areas – Annual vegetation of driftlines are dependent on natural processes of sand movement and the habitat is being adversely affected by shoreline structures, especially where these are restricting sediment transport. Without an influx of new material, this habitat is likely to go into decline. There are four Shoreline Management plans in Wales which set policies for the long term sustainable management of the coast. The Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRAs) of these plans did not conclude that there would be adverse effects for SAC designated sand dunes because the policies applied to these sites were either managed realignment (to allow for active management) or no active intervention. In addition, the HRAs considered sediment supply from adjacent units, and where necessary, mitigation measures were included to ensure that coastal management updrift would not affect sediment supply to designated dune systems. Implementation of the SMPs and associated mitigation measures is required in order to help manage this pressure.

PJ06: Wave exposure changes due to climate change &

---

PJ07: Cyclones, storms, or tornados due to climate change – Large waves and storm surges are predicted to become more frequent with climate change with shingle, and driftline habitats at greater risk of being overtopped or breached during these events. Storm event wave exposure causes erosion of shingle and driftline habitats removing significant proportions of shingle vegetation, reducing sediment height and increasing the chance of future overtopping and breaching during storm events.

PJ10: Change of habitat location, size, and / or quality due to climate change – Shingle and driftline habitats can naturally fluctuate in size and location in response to geomorphological processes, however, these fluctuations are expected to be more pronounced and acute in response to climate change and the natural balance is likely to be disrupted affecting both structure and function of these coastal habitats.

Three pressures are ranked as having a Medium impact:

PJ01: Temperature changes and extremes due to climate change – Shingle and driftline habitats and species are adapted to drought conditions, however, premature desiccation and prolonged periods of drought associated with high temperatures can lead to community species change and an overall shift in species composition altering the overall structure and function of the habitat. Increases in summer temperatures may favour the establishment of invasive species (e.g. Red valerian and garden escapes)

PJ03: Changes in precipitation regimes due to climate change – Increased rainfall during winter months can favour INNS by facilitating growth (Burden et al., 2020) and affect overall vegetation composition.

PJ04: Sea-level rise due to climate change – Sea-level rise is likely to result in overall loss of the Annual vegetation of driftline habitat. Increased storminess may remove

---

significant proportions sediment and driftline vegetation.

Two pressures are ranked as having a Low impact:

PD06: Transmission of electricity and communications (cables) – The potential impacts of cabling on shingle and driftline habitats relate to physical loss of extent and damage to the integrity of the habitats due to excavations, or the effects of cabling installation on underlying hydrology and geomorphology affecting the structure and function of the habitats.

PI02: Other invasive alien species (other than species of Union concern) – The biodiversity and mobility of shingle and driftline habitats can be threatened by invasive species which outcompete native species and can stabilise the shingle / sand matrix.

The pressures listed are considered to be current and applicable to future scenarios. Each of the pressures listed was regarded as being long term and there is no reason to suppose they will not continue to be applicable.

---

8.5: List of main  
conservation measures

The special sites (SSSI and SAC) include 86% of the H1210 resource in Wales by area.

MF02: Habitat restoration of areas impacted by residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure, operations and activities - (Relates to Pressure PF15).

Continued maintenance and upgrade of sea walls means that this pressure is continuing. Restoration of the shingle and driftline habitats by managed realignment would help to reinstate these habitats however, in locations where there is residential, commercial, industrial and recreational infrastructure this is unlikely to be viable.

MF03: Reduce impact of outdoor sports, leisure and recreational activities (incl. restoration of habitats) – (Relates to pressure PF05).

---

Shingle and driftline habitats are susceptible to trampling and damage from human activities, such as beach cleaning. Reducing these impacts through engagement will enable these coastal habitats to be more resilient in the future.

MF08: Manage changes in hydrological and coastal systems and regimes for construction and development (incl. restoration of habitats) - (Relates to Pressure PF15).

Pressures relating to construction and development activities (coastal protection and sea defences) causing changes to hydrological conditions are continuing, restoration of shingle and driftline habitats impacted by these changes would be beneficial for the structure and function of these coastal habitats.

MH03: Reduce impact of other specific human activities – (PF04 and PF05).

Shingle and driftline habitats are susceptible to trampling and damage from human activities, such as beach BBQs. Reducing these impacts through public engagement will enable these coastal habitats to be more resilient in the future. Restricting vehicular beach access would be beneficial to these coastal habitats.

MJ02: Implement climate change adaptation measures – (Relates to Pressures PJ01, PJ03, PJ04, PJ06, PJ07, PJ10)

The creation of buffer zones with appropriate conservation management to allow for the dynamic movement of shingle and driftline habitats inland where there are no existing barriers would be beneficial. Where there are defences such as sea walls, targeted realignment to enable more connectivity of shingle and driftline habitats is desirable.

---

MM01: Management of habitats (others than agriculture and forest) to slow, stop or reverse natural processes that occur without direct or indirect influence from human activities or climate change.

Targeted management where required, when shingle and driftline habitats require restoring to a more open early-successional stage.

---

9.1:Future trends and prospects of parameters

Range:

Despite several ongoing threats to the habitat, several sites have statutory protection which should ensure protection against total loss. Changes to the 10km<sup>2</sup> distribution are considered unlikely in the short to medium term.

Area:

This habitat is being adversely affected by shoreline structures especially where these are restricting sediment transport. Without an influx of new material the area of the habitat is likely to reduce in the future.

Large numbers of walkers and vehicle access, unless checked, will cause problems for the feature in the future.

Predicted sea-level rise is likely to result in loss of the habitat and increased storminess may remove significant proportions of the vegetation, which if sustained consecutively over a number of years is likely to have a negative effect on the area of the habitat in the future.

Beach cleaning is known to occur at several locations which are outside of the protected sites series and may have a detrimental effect on the integrity of the habitat and thus affecting future area of the habitat.

Structure and function:

---

This habitat is being adversely affected by shoreline structures especially where these are restricting sediment transport. Without an influx of new material the structure and function of the habitat is likely to be compromised in the future.

Large numbers of walkers and vehicle access, unless checked, will cause problems for the structure and function of the feature in the future.

Predicted sea-level rise is likely to result in loss of the habitat and increased storminess may remove significant proportions of the vegetation, which if sustained consecutively over a number of years is likely to have a negative effect on the structure and function of the habitat in the future.

Beach cleaning is known to occur at several locations which are outside of the protected sites series and may have a detrimental effect on the integrity of the habitat and thus affecting future structure and function of the habitat.

Taking the above into account it is likely that the future trend for the structure and function covered by the habitat is likely to be 'negative' if conservation measures are not implemented, however, until there is up-to-date survey information for the habitat in Wales, the future prospects of area will remain as 'unknown'.

Taking the above into account it is likely that the future trend for the area covered by the habitat is likely to be 'negative' if conservation measures are not implemented. Furthermore, without future monitoring of the area of the habitat in Wales the future prospects of the area will be 'unknown'.

---

#### 10.1: Range

Conclusion on Range reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Range surface area is stable; and (ii) the current Range surface area is not more than 10% below the Favourable Reference Range.

|  |  |
|--|--|
| 10.2: Area   | Conclusion on Area reached because:(i) the short-term trend direction in Area is unknown; (ii) the current Area is not more than 10% below the Favourable Reference Area and iii) the change in distribution pattern is unknown.   |
| 10.3: Specific structure and functions   | Conclusion on Structure and function reached because habitat condition data indicates that between c.5-25% of the habitat is in unfavourable (not good) condition.   |
| 10.4: Future prospects   | Conclusion on Future prospects reached because: (i) the Future prospects for Range are poor; (ii) the Future prospects for Area covered by habitat are unknown; and (iii) the Future prospects for Structure and function are poor.  |
| 10.5: Overall assessment of Conservation Status                                | Overall assessment of Conservation Status is Unfavourable-inadequate because all the conclusions are Unfavourable-inadequate.  |
| 11.1: Surface area of the habitat type inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network | This is the total surface area of the feature within SACs (irrespective of whether the feature has been notified).   |
| 5.13: Favourable Reference Area (FRA)  | The UK-level FRV for surface area was developed by JNCC using an audit trail based on the year the FRV was first established and any changes made in subsequent reporting rounds. The audit may draw from any combination of the 2007, 2013, or 2019 Habitats Directive reports and reflects the full rationale used for the 2019 Article 17 reporting. This FRV was reviewed by Welsh experts and considered appropriate for use in Wales based on current habitat extent and trends. |
| 4.10: Favourable Reference Range (FRR)   | The UK-level FRV for range was developed by JNCC using an audit trail based on the year the FRV was first established and any changes made in subsequent reporting rounds. The audit may draw from any combination of the 2007, 2013, or 2019 Habitats Directive reports and reflects the full rationale used for the 2019 Article 17 reporting. This FRV was reviewed by Welsh experts and considered appropriate for use in Wales based  |



---

on current distribution and trends.