Reporting under the Habitat Regulations (as amended)[1]

2019-2024

Conservation status assessment for the species:

S1351 - Harbour porpoise

(*Phocoena phocoena*)

United Kingdom

[1] Habitat Regulations (as amended):

- The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), Regulation 9A
- The Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), Regulation 6A
- Report under The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended), regulation 3ZA
- The Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 (as amended), regulation 3ZA

For further information please contact:

Joint Nature Conservation Committee. Quay House, 2 East Station Road, Fletton Quays, Peterborough, PE2 8YY. https://jncc.gov.uk

This report was produced by JNCC in collaboration with the UK Country Nature Conservation Bodies (CNCBs) and country governments.

This document should be cited as:

JNCC, Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs, Natural England, Natural Resources Wales & NatureScot. (2026). Conservation status assessment for the species: S1351 Harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*).

Important note - Please read

- The information in this document represents the United Kingdom Reporting under the Habitat Regulations (as amended)[1], for the period 2019-2024.
- It is based on supporting information provided by Joint Nature Conservation Committee and UK Country Nature Consevation Bodies (CNCBs), which is documented separately.
- The Habitats Regulations reporting 2019-2024 Approach Document provides details on how this supporting information contributed to the UK Report and the fields that were completed for each parameter.
- Map showing the distribution and range of the species is included.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) are included at the end. These provide additional audit trail information to that included within the assessments. Further underpinning explanatory notes are available in the related country reports.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; and/or (iii) the field was not relevant to this species (section 12 National Site Network coverage for Annex II species).

Further details on the approach to the Habitats Regulations Reporting 2019-2024 are available on the JNCC website.

Assessment Summary: Harbour porpoise

Distribution and Range Map



Figure 1: United Kingdom distribution and range map for S1351 - Harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*). The 50km grid square distribution map is based on available species records within the current reporting period.

Table 1: Table summarising the conservation status for S1351 - Harbour porpoise (*Phocoena phocoena*). Overall conservation status for species is based on assessments of range, population, habitat for the species, and future prospects.

Overall Conservation Status (see section 11)

Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)

Breakdown of Overall Conservation Status

Range (see section 5)	**Favourable (FV)**
Population (see section 6)	**Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)**
Habitat for the species (see section 7)	**Unknown (XX)**
Future prospects (see section 10)	**Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)**

List of Sections

National Level

1. General information

1.1 Country	United Kingdom
1.2 Species code	S1351
1.3 Species scientific name	*Phocoena phocoena*
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name	Harbour porpoise
Annex(es)	II, IV

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	No
2.2 Year or period	2019-2022
2.3 Distribution map	Yes
2.4 Distribution map; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

2.5 Additional information

The distribution map is based on verified sightings data of harbour porpoise between 2019 and 2024. The sightings were collated from SCANS IV, Pelagis French surveys, NBN Atlas, European Seabirds at Sea, the Joint Cetacean Data Programme, POSEIDON project, University of Aberdeen, The Crown Estate Marine Data Exchange, Whale and Dolphin Conservation, Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust, ORCA, Sea Watch Foundation, Marine Discovery Penzance, Sussex Dolphin Project, Cornwall Seal Group Research Trust and Cardigan Bay Marine Wildlife Centre.

3. Information related to Annex V Species

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild / exploited?

3.2 What measures have been taken?

a) Regulations regarding access to property

b) Temporary or local prohibition on the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation

c) Regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens

d) Application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations

e) Establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas

f) Regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale, or transport for sale of specimens

g) Breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species

Other measures

Other measures description

3.3: Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

Table 2: Quantity taken from the wild during the reporting period (see 3.3a for units). For species with defined hunting seasons, Season 1 refers to 2018/2019 (autumn 2018 to spring 2019), and Season 6 to 2023/2024. For species without hunting seasons, data are reported by calendar year: Year 1 is 2019, and Year 6 is 2024.

	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
b) Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	-
c) Maximum	-	-	-	-	-	-
d) Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	-

3.4: Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild; Method used

3.5: Additional information

No additional information

Biogeographical Level

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs MATL

4.2 Sources of information

See section 14 References

5. Range

5.1 Surface area (km²)	817,500
5.2 Short-term trend; Period	2013-2022
5.3 Short-term trend; Direction	Stable
5.4 Short-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Estimated minimum	
b) Estimated maximum	
c) Pre-defined range	
d) Unknown	
e) Type of estimate	
f) Rate of decrease	
5.5 Short-term trend; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
5.6 Long-term trend; Period	1994-2024
5.7 Long-term trend; Direction	Stable
5.8 Long-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Minimum	

b) Maximum	
c) Rate of decrease	
5.9 Long-term trend; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

5.10 Favourable Reference Range (FRR)

a) Area (km²)	817,500
b) Pre-defined increment	
c) Unknown	No
d) Method used	Model-based approach
e) Quality of information	high

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

a) Change	No
b) Genuine change	
c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data	
d) Different method	
e) No information	
f) Other reason	
g) Main reason	

5.12 Additional information

The distribution is based on verified sightings of harbour porpoise between 2019 and 2024. The sightings were collated from SCANS IV, Pelagis French surveys, NBN Atlas, European Seabirds at Sea, the Joint Cetacean Data Programme, POSEIDON project, University of Aberdeen, The Crown Estate Marine Data Exchange, Whale and Dolphin Conservation, Hebridean Whale and Dolphin Trust, ORCA, Sea Watch Foundation, Marine Discovery Penzance, Sussex Dolphin Project, Cornwall Seal Group Research Trust and Cardigan Bay Marine Wildlife Centre.

The FRR was based on an analysis of effort-related survey data spanning 1994-2010 compiled for the Joint Cetacean Protocol (JCP) undertaken by Paxton et al. (2016). The

estimated range was based on a modelled prediction of harbour porpoise distribution during August 2010 and adapted based on additional sightings data and expert knowledge.

The range of harbour porpoise within the UK EEZ appears to have remained stable, with some occasional out of range sightings further offshore. Distribution of harbour porpoise within their predicted range has continued to shift over the past 2 decades of systematic monitoring. As well as the well documented southwards shift in the North Sea (Hammond et al. 2013; Geelhoed e al. 2023), estimates from the SCANS surveys show higher densities in eastern regions of the range into the Eastern Channel and North Sea.

Since the 2019 Habitats Directive Article 17 assessments, the FRR has changed due to the removal of the EEZ extension into offshore waters west of Scotland. This area has been removed due to lack of data for all species, and subsequent impact on confidence in assessments. This does not represent genuine change in FRR.

6. Population

6.1 Year or period	2022

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit	number of individuals
b) Minimum	156,390
c) Maximum	233,931
d) Best single value	191,271
6.3 Type of estimate	95% confidence interval
6.4 Quality of extrapolation to reporting unit	high

6.5 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit	
b) Minimum	
c) Maximum	
d) Best single value	
e) Type of estimate	

6.6 Population size; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
6.7 Short-term trend; Period	2016-2022
6.8 Short-term trend; Direction	Stable
6.9 Short-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Estimated minimum	
b) Estimated maximum	
c) Pre-defined range	
d) Unknown	
e) Type of estimate	
f) Rate of decrease	
6.10 Short-term trend; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
6.11 Long-term trend; Period	1994-2022
6.12 Long-term trend; Direction	Stable
6.13 Long-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Minimum	
b) Maximum	
c) Confidence interval	
d) Rate of decrease	
6.14 Long-term trend; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.15 Favourable Reference Population (FRP)

ai) Population size	242,361
aii) Unit	number of individuals
b) Pre-defined increment	

c) Unknown	No
d) Method used	Model-based approach
e) Quality of information	high

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

a) Change	No
b) Genuine change	
c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data	
d) Different method	
e) No information	
f) Other reason	
g) Main reason	

6.17 Additional information

The total population estimate for harbour porpoise in the UK EEZ has been overall stable since 2005, with inter-survey fluctuations within the confidence intervales. However, there are regional differences in the trend of abundance with both the SCANS and ObSERVE programmes noting declines in the Celtic and Irish Seas (Hammond et al., 2021; Gilles et al., 2023; Giralt Paradell, et al. 2024). Please refer to the management unit level assessments for more detailed description of the regional status of harbour porpoise in UK waters.

The NASS 2024 survey in the NAMMCO region will provide wider context for harbour porpoise population in the Northern Atlantic regions once published.

The FRV (242361; CV: 0.178; CI:171561-342378) for population was calculated based on estimates from SCANS II in 2005 (Hammond, et al., 2021) and CODA in 2007 (Hammond, et al., 2009), supplemented with density estimates from neighbouring regions to fill data gaps within the UK EEZ and limit extrapolation where possible; ObSERVE in Irish waters (Rogan, e al., 2018), NASS and T-NASS (Pike, et al., 2019a; Pike, et al., 2019b) and NILS (Leonard and Øien, 2020a; Leonard and Øien, 2020b) surveys in the NAMMCO region.

Since the 2019 Habitats Directive Article 17 assessments, the FRV has changed due to the removal of the EEZ extension into offshore waters west of Scotland. This area has

been removed due to lack of data for all species, and subsequent impact on confidence in assessments. This does not represent genuine change in FRV.

6.18 Age structure, mortality and reproduction deviation	Unknown

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat (for long-term survival)

a) Is area of occupied habitat sufficient?	Unknown
b) Is quality of occupied habitat sufficient?	Unknown
c) If No or Unknown, is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality?	Unknown

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat; Method used

a) Sufficiency of area of occupied habitat; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
b) Sufficiency of quality of occupied habitat; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.3 Short-term trend; Period

7.4 Short-term trend; Direction	Unknown
7.5 Short-term trend; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.6 Long-term trend; Period

7.7 Long-term trend; Direction	Unknown
7.8 Long-term trend; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.9 Additional information

Direct evidence of cetacean habitat quality is limited as presently, a comprehensive understanding of the key elements important to the species is undetermined. In some cases, conclusions for species range and population could be indicative of habitat quality by proxy, however confidence in assessment outputs would be low.

The population of harbour porpoise using the UK EEZ has declined since 2005 although the range has remained stable.

8. Main pressures

8.1 Characterisation of pressures

Table 3: Pressures affecting the species, including timing and importance/impact ranking. Pressures are defined as factors acting currently and/or during the reporting period (2019–2024). Rankings are: High (direct/immediate influence and/or large spatial extent) and Medium (moderate direct/immediate influence, mainly indirect and/or regional extent).

Pressure	Timing	Ranking
PD01: Wind, wave and tidal power (including infrastructure)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PE08: Land, water and air transport activities generating noise, light and other forms of pollution	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PF12: Residential, commercial and industrial activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PG01: Marine fish and shellfish harvesting causing reduction of species/prey populations and disturbance of species (professional)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PG13: Bycatch and incidental killing (due to fishing and hunting activities)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	High (H)
PK02: Mixed source marine water pollution (marine and coastal)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	High (H)
PI03: Problematic native species	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PJ12: Decline or extinction of related species (e.g. food source / prey, predator / parasite, symbiote, etc.) due to climate change	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)

PI04: Plant and animal diseases, pathogens and pests	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PX02: Threats and pressures from outside the Member State	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)

8.2 Sources of information

See section 14 References

8.3 Additional information

PI03: Relating to reported bottlenose dolphin and grey seal attacks on harbour porpoise.

PX02: Relating to continued take of this species outside of UK waters which may be having an impact on populations.

9. Conservation measures

9.1: Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?	Yes
b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures identified and taken
9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species
9.3 Location of the measures taken	Both inside and outside National Site Network
9.4 Response to measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2025–2036)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

Table 4: Key conservation measures addressing current pressures and/or anticipated threats during the next two reporting periods (2025–2036). Measures are ranked by importance/impact: High (direct/immediate influence and/or large spatial extent) and Medium (moderate direct/immediate influence, mainly indirect and/or regional extent).

Conservation measure	Ranking
MC02: Adapt/manage exploitation of energy resources	High (H)
MG04: Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting of wild plants, fungi and anmals	High (H)

MG05: Reduce bycatch and incidental killing of non-target species	High (H)
MH01: Reduce impact of military installations and activities	High (H)
MK01: Reduce impact of mixed source pollution	High (H)
MC03: Adapt/manage renewable energy installation, facilities and operation (excl. hydropower and abstraction activities)	High (H)
MG01: Management of professional/commercial fishing, shellfish and seaweed harvesting (incl. restoration of habitats)	High (H)

9.6 Additional information

Seven Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) have been designated with harbour porpoise as a qualifying feature (grade A-C) (see Section 12). Skerries and Causeway SAC was desinated in 2013 as a multi-feature site, followed by six single-feature sites for harbour porpoise, designated in 2016/17 (Heinanen & Skov, 2015, IAMMWG, 2015) which are listed on the JNCC website: Bristol Channel Approaches / Dynesfeydd Mor Hafren (UK0030396) England inshore & England offshore & Wales inshore & Wales offshore; Inner Hebrides and the Minches (UK0030393) Scotland inshore; North Anglesey Marine / Gogledd Mon Forol (UK0030398) Northern Ireland offshore & Wales inshore & Wales offshore; North Channel (UK0030399) Northern Ireland inshore & Northern Ireland offshore; Skerries and Causeway (UK0030383) Northern Ireland inshore; Southern North Sea (UK0030395) England inshore & England offshore; West Wales Marine / Gorllewin Cymru Forol (UK0030397) Wales inshore & Wales offshore. As a European Protected Species, protection is also provided throughout UK waters and it is an offence to kill, injure or disturb. The UK remains committed to the conservation of marine mammals in UK waters and the implementation of measures to mitigate the impact of pressures and conservation measures have been undertaken in the UK and adjacent waters as part of the requirements of the Habitats Regulations. Such measures include monitoring bycatch, monitoring strandings data to monitor current and identify emerging pressures, application of appropriate management measures, and noise monitoring and mitigation with regards to offshore industry. This is reflected in the list of conservation measures under field 9.5. The UK also supports a range of international agreements and conventions on the conservation of marine mammals and the marine environment in general. For example: The Convention on Migratory Species and its Agreement on the Conservation of Small Cetaceans of the Baltic, North East Atlantic, Irish and North Seas (ASCOBANS) implementing its Conservation Plan for Harbour Porpoises (Phocoena phocoena L.) in the North Sea (Reijnders et al, 2009); the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR). A UK Cetacean Conservation Strategy is currently in development, due for

publication shortly. The strategy is intended to support decision making and identify actions necessary to maintain or improve the conservation status of cetaceans in UK waters. Defra and devolved administrations fund national strandings schemes for cetaceans which aim to: collate, analyse and report data for all cetacean strandings around the coast of the UK; determine the causes of death (both natural and anthropogenic) in stranded cetaceans, including bycatch and physical trauma and; undertake surveillance on the incidence of disease in stranded cetaceans in order to identify any substantial new threats to their conservation status. Harbour porpoise is the most commonly stranded cetacean in the UK and, therefore, the project holds significant data on natural and anthropogenic causes of death. The UK have several voluntary wildlife watching guidelines which are publicly available however, while these are endorsed by the UK government and devolved administrations, there is no mandate for operators or individuals to adopt them. Survey: In 2022, the UK was a major funder of the fourth SCANS project which completed a survey for cetaceans in the European Atlantic to generate precise estimates of abundance. These data were collected through aerial and vessel survey over 6 weeks and the results enable assessment at a biologically appropriate spatial scale. Results are available: https://www.eoliennesenmer.fr/sites/eoliennesenmer/files/fichiers/2024/09/doc00085242.pdf

10. Future prospects

10.1a Future trends of parameters

ai) Range	Overall stable
bi) Population	Negative - decreasing <=1% (one percent or less) per year on average
ci) Habitat for the species	Unknown

10.1b Future prospects of parameters

aii) Range	Good
bii) Population	Poor
cii) Habitat for the species	Unknown

10.2 Additional information

No additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1 Range	Favourable (FV)
11.2 Population	Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)
11.3 Habitat for the species	Unknown (XX)
11.4 Future prospects	Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Unfavourable-inadequate (U1)
11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable

11.7 Change and reason for change in conservation status

a) Change	Yes
b) Genuine change	Yes
c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data	Yes
d) Different method	No
e) No information	No
f) Other reason	No
g) Main reason	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

11.7 Change and reason for change in conservation status trend

a) Change	Yes
b) Genuine change	Yes
c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data	Yes
d) Different method	No
e) No information	No
f) Other reason	No
g) Main reason	Improved knowledge/more accurate data

11.8 Additional information

Conclusion on Range reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Range surface area is stable and (ii) the current Range surface area is equivalent to the Favourable Reference Range.

Conclusion on Population reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Population size is stable; and (ii) the best estimate for population size is less than the Favourable Reference Population but not more than 25% less.

Conclusion on Habitat for the species reached because: (i) it is unknown whether the area of habitat is sufficiently large; (ii) it is unknown if habitat quality is sufficient for the long-term survival of the species; and (iii) the short-term trend in area and quality of habitat is unknown.

Conclusion on Future prospects reached because: (i) the Future prospects for Range are Good; (ii) the Future prospects for Population are Negative; and (iii) the Future prospects for Habitat for the species are Unknown.

Overall assessment of Conservation Status is Unfavourable - inadequate because one or more conclusions are Unfavourable - inadequate but no conclusions are Unfavourable - bad.

Overall trend in Conservation Status is based on the combination of the short-term trends for Range - stable, Population - stable, and Habitat for the species - unknown.

12. UK National Site Network (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network

a) Unit	number of individuals
b) Minimum	
c) Maximum	
d) Best single value	
12.2 Type of estimate	
12.3 Population size inside the network; Method used	Insufficient or no data available

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network; Direction	Unknown
12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network; Method used	Insufficient or no data available
12.6 Short-term trend of habitat for the species inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network; Direction	Unknown
12.7 Short-term trend of habitat for the species inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network; Method used	Insufficient or no data available

12.8 Additional information

There is currently no population abundance data for harbour porpoise within SACs. The SAC were designated based on high modelled relative density rather than absolute abundance.

Harbour porpoise are highly mobile with individuals moving through and out of the SAC area regularly. For information on harbour porpoise within each SAC please refer to the Phocoena phocoena UK and management unit assessments.

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of percentage thresholds for trends

No justification information

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

No trans-boundary assessment information

13.2 Other relevant information

No other relevant information

14. References

Biogeographical and marine regions

4.2 Sources of information

Geelhoed, S.C.V., Authier, M., Pigeault, R., Gilles, A. 2022. Abundance and Distribution of Cetaceans. In: OSPAR, 2023: The 2023 Quality Status Report for the Northeast Atlantic. OSPAR Commission, London. Available at: https://oap.ospar.org/en/ospar-assessments/quality-status-reports/qsr-2023/indicator-assessments/abundance-distribution-cetaceans/

Hammond, P. s., Macleod, K., Berggren, P., Borchers, D.L., Burt, L., Cañadas, A., Desportes, G., Donovan, G.P., Gilles, A., Gillespie, D., Gordon, J., Hiby, L., Kuklik, I., Leaper, R., Lehnert, K., Leopold, M., Lovell, P., Øien, N., Paxton, C.G.M., Ridoux, V., Rogan, E., Samarra, F., Scheidat, M., Sequeira, M., Siebert, U., Skov, H., Swift, R., Tasker, M.L., Teilmann, J., Van Canneyt, O., Vázquez, J.A., 2013. Cetacean abundance and distribution in European Atlantic shelf waters to inform conservation and management. Biological Conservation 164, 107–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.04.010

Paxton, C.G.M., Scott-Hayward, L., Mackenzie, M., Rexstad, E. & Thomas, L. (2016) Revised Phase III Data Analysis of Joint Cetacean Protocol Data Resource, JNCC Report No. 517, JNCC, Peterborough, ISSN 0963-8091. https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/01adfabd-e75f-48ba-9643-2d594983201e

Rogan, E., Breen, P., Mackey, M., Cañadas, A., Scheidat, M., Geelhoed, S. & Jessopp, M. (2018). Aerial surveys of cetaceans and seabirds in Irish waters: Occurrence, distribution and abundance in 2015-2017. Department of Communications, Climate Action & Environment and National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS), Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Dublin, Ireland. 297pp. https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/12374-observe-programme

Leonard, D. M. & Øien, N. I. (2020a). Estimated Abundances of Cetacean Species in the Northeast Atlantic from Norwegian Shipboard Surveys Conducted in 2014–2018. NAMMCO Scientific Publications 11. https://doi.org/ 10.7557/3.4694

Leonard, D. M. & Øien, N. I. (2020b). Estimated Abundances of Cetaceans Species in the Northeast Atlantic from Two Multiyear Surveys Conducted by Norwegian Vessels between 2002–2013. NAMMCO Scientific Publications 11. https://doi.org/10.7557/3.4695

Pike, D.G., Gunnlaugsson, T., Mikkelsen, B., Halldórsson, S.D. & Víkingsson, G.A. (2019a). Estimates of the Abundance of Cetaceans in the Central North Atlantic Based on the NASS Icelandic and Faroese Shipboard Surveys Conducted in 2015. NAMMCO Scientific Publications 11. https://doi.org/10.7557/3.4941

Pike, D.G., Gunnlaugsson, T., Mikkelsen, B., Halldórsson, S.D., Víkingsson, G.A., Acquarone, M. & Desportes, G. (2020b). Estimates of the Abundance of Cetaceans in the Central North Atlantic From the T-NASS Icelandic and Faroese Ship Surveys Conducted in 2007. NAMMCO Scientific Publications 11. https://doi.org/10.7557/3.5269

Hammond, P., Macleod, K., Gillespie, D., Swift, R., Winship, A., Burt, M., Cañadas, A., Vázquez, J., Ridoux, V., Certain, G., Canneyt, O.V., Lens, S., Santos, B., Rogan, E., Uriarte, A., Hernandez, C., Castro, R., 2009. Cetacean Offshore Distribution and Abundance in the European Atlantic (CODA) (Project report). St Andrews University. https://archive.st-andrews.ac.uk/biology/coda/

Giralt Paradell, O., Cañadas, A., Bennison, A., Todd, N., Jessopp, M., Rogan, E. (2024). Aerial

surveys of cetaceans and seabirds in Irish waters: Occurrence, distribution and abundance in

2021-2023. Department of the Environment, Climate & Communications and Department of

Housing, Local Government & Heritage, Ireland. 260pp https://www.gov.ie/pdf/?file=https://assets.gov.ie/308027/e03a534c-0fa5-4a22-8bad-5f002ae94857.pdf

Bailey, H., Senior, B., Simmons, D., Rusin, J., Picken, G. and Thompson, P.M., 2010. Assessing underwater noise levels during pile-driving at an offshore windfarm and its potential effects on marine mammals. Marine pollution bulletin, 60(6), pp.888-897.

Barnett, J., Davison, N., Deaville, R., Monies, R., Loveridge, J., Tregenza, N. and Jepson, P.D., 2009. Postmortem evidence of interactions of bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) with other dolphin species in south-west England. Veterinary record, 165(15), pp.441-444.

Benhemma-Le Gall, A., Graham, I.M., Merchant, N.D. and Thompson, P.M., 2021. Broad-scale responses of harbor porpoises to pile-driving and vessel activities during offshore windfarm construction. Frontiers in Marine Science, 8, p.664724.

Brandt, M.J., Diederichs, A., Betke, K. and Nehls, G., 2011. Responses of harbour porpoises to pile driving at the Horns Rev II offshore wind farm in the Danish North Sea. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 421, pp.205-216.

Carstensen, J., Henriksen, O.D. and Teilmann, J., 2006. Impacts of offshore wind farm construction on harbour porpoises: acoustic monitoring of echolocation activity using porpoise detectors (T-PODs). Marine Ecology Progress Series, 321, pp.295-308.

Dähne, M., Gilles, A., Lucke, K., Peschko, V., Adler, S., Krügel, K., Sundermeyer, J. and Siebert, U., 2013. Effects of pile-driving on harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) at the first offshore wind farm in Germany. Environmental Research Letters, 8(2), p.025002.

Davison, N. and ten Doeschate, M. 2021. Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS) Annual Report 2020. Available at: https://strandings.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/09/SMASS-AR-2020-final.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Davison, N., ten Doeschate, M. and Brownlow, A. 2020. Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS) Annual Report 2019. Available at: https://strandings.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/SMASS_Annual_Report_2019.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Deaville, R. (compiler). 2011:2024. Annual report for the period 1st January to 31st December. UK Cetacean Strandings Investigation Programme (CSIP).

Dyndo, M., Wiśniewska, D.M., Rojano-Doñate, L. and Madsen, P.T., 2015. Harbour porpoises react to low levels of high frequency vessel noise. Scientific reports, 5(1), p.11083.

Fernandez-Betelu, O., Graham, I.M., Malcher, F., Webster, E., Cheong, S.H., Wang, L., Iorio-Merlo, V., Robinson, S. and Thompson, P.M., 2024. Characterising underwater noise and changes in harbour porpoise behaviour during the decommissioning of an oil and gas platform. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 200, p.116083.

Findlay, C.R., Aleynik, D., Farcas, A., Merchant, N.D., Risch, D. and Wilson, B., 2021. Auditory impairment from acoustic seal deterrents predicted for harbour porpoises in a marine protected area. Journal of Applied Ecology, 58(8), pp.1631-1642.

Findlay, C.R., Coomber, F.G., Dudley, R., Bland, L., Calderan, S.V., Hartny-Mills, L., Leaper, R., Tougaard, J., Merchant, N.D., Risch, D. and Wilson, B., 2024. Harbour porpoises respond to chronic acoustic deterrent device noise from aquaculture. Biological Conservation, 293, p.110569.

Hall, A.J., Hugunin, K., Deaville, R., Law, R.J., Allchin, C.R. and Jepson, P.D., 2006. The risk of infection from polychlorinated biphenyl exposure in the harbor porpoise (Phocoena phocoena): a case–control approach. Environmental Health Perspectives, 114(5), pp.704-711.

Heiler, J., Elwen, S.H., Kriesell, H.J. and Gridley, T., 2016. Changes in bottlenose dolphin whistle parameters related to vessel presence, surface behaviour and group composition. Animal behaviour, 117, pp.167-177.

IJsseldijk, L.L., Leopold, M.F., Begeman, L., Kik, M.J., Wiersma, L., Morell, M., Bravo Rebolledo, E.L., Jauniaux, T., Heesterbeek, H. and Gröne, A., 2022. Pathological findings in stranded harbor porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) with special focus on anthropogenic causes. Frontiers in Marine Science, 9, p.997388.

Jepson, P.D., Deaville, R., Barber, J.L., Aguilar, À., Borrell, A., Murphy, S., Barry, J., Brownlow, A., Barnett, J., Berrow, S. and Cunningham, A.A., 2016. PCB pollution continues to impact populations of orcas and other dolphins in European waters. Scientific reports, 6(1), pp.1-17.

JNCC. 2010a. The protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance. Guidance for the marine area in England and Wales and the UK offshore marine area. Available on request from JNCC.

JNCC. 2010b. Statutory nature conservation agency protocol for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from Piling noise. 2010. JNCC Peterborough. United Kingdom. Available at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/31662b6a-19ed-4918-9fab-8fbcff752046/JNCC-CNCB-Piling-protocol-August2010-Web.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

JNCC. 2010c. JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from using explosives. August 2010. Available at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/24cc180d-4030-49dd-8977-a04ebe0d7aca/JNCC-Guidelines-Explosives-Guidelines-201008-Web.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

JNCC. 2017. JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from geophysical surveys. Available at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/e2a46de5-43d4-43f0-b296-c62134397ce4/jncc-guidelines-seismicsurvey-aug2017-web.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

JNCC. 2023. JNCC guidance for the use of Passive Acoustic Monitoring in UK waters for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from offshore activities. JNCC, Peterborough. Available at: https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/fb7d345b-ec24-4c60-aba2-894e50375e33 [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Kyhn, L.A., Jørgensen, P.B., Carstensen, J., Bech, N.I., Tougaard, J., Dabelsteen, T. and Teilmann, J., 2015. Pingers cause temporary habitat displacement in the harbour porpoise Phocoena phocoena. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 526, pp.253-265.

Leopold, M.F., Begeman, L., van Bleijswijk, J.D., IJsseldijk, L.L., Witte, H.J. and Gröne, A., 2015. Exposing the grey seal as a major predator of harbour porpoises. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 282(1798), p.20142429.

Malinka, C.E., Gillespie, D.M., Macaulay, J.D., Joy, R. and Sparling, C.E., 2018. First in situ passive acoustic monitoring for marine mammals during operation of a tidal turbine in Ramsey Sound, Wales. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 590, pp.247-266.

Marine Scotland. 2014. The protection of Marine European Protected Species from injury and disturbance. Guidance for Scottish Inshore Waters.

Murphy, S., Barber, J.L., Learmonth, J.A., Read, F.L., Deaville, R., Perkins, M.W., Brownlow, A., Davison, N., Penrose, R., Pierce, G.J. and Law, R.J., 2015. Reproductive failure in UK harbour porpoises Phocoena phocoena: legacy of pollutant exposure?. PLoS One, 10(7), p.e0131085.

Murphy, S., Pierce, G.J., Law, R.J., Bersuder, P., Jepson, P.D., Learmonth, J.A., Addink, M., Dabin, W., Santos, M.B., Deaville, R. and Zegers, B.N., 2010. Assessing the effect of persistent organic pollutants on reproductive activity in common dolphins and harbour porpoises. Journal of Northwest Atlantic Fishery Science, 42, pp.153-173.

Nabe-Nielsen, J., van Beest, F.M., Grimm, V., Sibly, R.M., Teilmann, J. and Thompson, P.M., 2018. Predicting the impacts of anthropogenic disturbances on marine populations. Conservation Letters, 11(5), p.e12563.

Northridge, S., Kingston, A. and Thomas, L. 2017. Annual report on the implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 812/2004 during 2016. Available at: https://randd.defra.gov.uk/ProjectDetails?ProjectID=18535 [Accessed 07 Nov 2024]

Schaffeld, T., 2020. Effect of anthropogenic underwater noise on harbour porpoise hearing in areas of high ecological importance (Doctoral dissertation, Tierärztliche Hochschule Hannover).

Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme. 2022. Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS) Annual Report 2021. Available at: https://strandings.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/SMASS-Annual-Report-2021-final.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme. 2023. Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS) Annual Report 2022. Available: https://strandings.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/SMASS-Annual-Report-2022-v1.2.pdf [Accessed 07 Nov 2024]

Stone, C., Hall, K., Mendes, S. and Tasker, M. 2017. The effects of seismic operations in UK waters: analysis of Marine Mammal Observer data. J. Cetacean Res. Manage., 16, pp.71-85.

Stringell, T., Hill, D., Rees, D., Rees, F., Rees, P., Morgan, G., Morgan, L. and Morris, C., 2015. Predation of harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) by grey seals (Halichoerus grypus) in Wales. Aquatic Mammals, 41(2), p.188.

Taylor, N., Authier, M., Banga, R., Genu, M. and Gilles, A., 2022. Marine mammal by-catch. OSPAR, 2023: the 2023 quality status report for the Northeast Atlantic. Avaialable at: https://oap.ospar.org/en/ospar-assessments/quality-status-reports/qsr-2023/indicator-assessments/marine-mammal-bycatch/ [Accessed 07 Nov 2024]

Williams, R., Doeschate, M.T., Curnick, D.J., Brownlow, A., Barber, J.L., Davison, N.J., Deaville, R., Perkins, M., Jepson, P.D. and Jobling, S., 2020a. Levels of polychlorinated biphenyls are still associated with toxic effects in harbor porpoises (Phocoena phocoena) despite having fallen below proposed toxicity thresholds. Environmental Science & Technology, 54(4), pp.2277-2286.

Williams, R.S., Brownlow, A., Baillie, A., Barber, J.L., Barnett, J., Davison, N.J., Deaville, R., Ten Doeschate, M., Murphy, S., Penrose, R. and Perkins, M., 2023. Spatiotemporal trends spanning three decades show toxic levels of chemical contaminants in marine mammals. Environmental Science & Technology, 57(49), pp.20736-20749.

Williams, R.S., Curnick, D.J., Barber, J.L., Brownlow, A., Davison, N.J., Deaville, R., Perkins, M., Jobling, S. and Jepson, P.D., 2020b. Juvenile harbor porpoises in the UK are exposed to a more neurotoxic mixture of polychlorinated biphenyls than adults. Science of the Total Environment, 708, p.134835.

Williams, R.S., Curnick, D.J., Brownlow, A., Barber, J.L., Barnett, J., Davison, N.J., Deaville, R., Ten Doeschate, M., Perkins, M., Jepson, P.D. and Jobling, S., 2021. Polychlorinated biphenyls are associated with reduced testes weights in harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena). Environment international, 150, p.106303.

Wisniewska, D.M., Johnson, M., Teilmann, J., Siebert, U., Galatius, A., Dietz, R. and Madsen, P.T., 2018. High rates of vessel noise disrupt foraging in wild harbour porpoises (Phocoena phocoena). Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285(1872), p.20172314.

JNCC. 2025. JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from unexploded ordnance (UXO) clearance in the marine environment. JNCC, Aberdeen.

JNCC, Natural England and Cefas. 2025. JNCC, Natural England and Cefas position on the use of quieter piling methods and noise abatement systems when installing offshore wind turbine foundations. JNCC, Aberdeen.

Pigeault, R., Ruser, A., Ramírez-Martínez, N.C., Geelhoed, S.C., Haelters, J., Nachtsheim, D.A., Schaffeld, T., Sveegaard, S., Siebert, U. and Gilles, A., 2024. Maritime traffic alters distribution of the harbour porpoise in the North Sea. Marine pollution bulletin, 208, p.116925.

Evans, P.G.H. and Waggitt, J.J. 2023. Modelled Distribution and Abundance of Cetaceans and Seabirds in Wales and Surrounding Waters. NRW Evidence Report, Report No: 646,

354 pp. Natural Resources Wales, Bangor.

Main pressures

8.2 Sources of information

No sources of information

15. Explanatory Notes

Field label	Note
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PJ12 Decline or extinction of related species (e.g. food source / prey, predator / parasite, symbiot, etc.) due to climate change. This pressure has the potential to impact the population directly through mortality caused by starvation and would be expected to have a broad impact across the UK species range. The effects of climate change on harbour porpoise are likely to be mediated through variation in prey resource initially. The species consumes a wide variety of prey, although usually focusing on three or four species at any one time. Harbour porpoise may therefore adapt to new food sources, potentially reducing the impact of this threat. Of stranded animals necropsied or examined further around the UK between 2019 - 2022, starvation/hypothermia was attributed as cause of death in 42 harbour porpoise (Deaville, 2019:2024; Davison et al., 2020; Davison & ten Doeschate, 2022; Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, 2022; 2023). It should be noted however, that prey depletion/starvation can result from both natural and anthropogenic causes.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PI03 Problematic native species. Reports of violent interactions between bottlenose dolphins and harbour porpoises in UK waters are well documented (Barnett et al., 2009; Stringell et al., 2015). 70 animals examined by the UK CSIP between 2019-2022 had a cause of death of bottlenose dolphin attack (Deaville, 2019:2024; Davison et al., 2020; Davison & ten Doeschate, 2022; Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, 2022; 2023). Grey seals are also known to predate harbour porpoises (Leopold et al., 2014), although much fewer animals necropsied by the CSIP and SMASS had a cause of death attributed to grey seal predation (29, across both stranding schemes between 2019-2022; Deaville, 2019:2024; Davison et al., 2020; Davison & ten Doeschate, 2022; Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, 2022; 2023). Risk of grey seal predation is likely regionally high around the UK, coinciding

	predominantly in coastal areas where grey seals are found (e.g. several reports have been confirmed in and around Ramsey Sound in Wales). Grey seals attacks are a leading cause of death in animals stranded in the Netherlands (Ijsseldijk et al., 2022). The combined pressure of other species predating and attacking harbour porpoise results in a High grading for this pressure.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PK02 Mixed source marine water pollution (marine and coastal). PCBs are recognised as one of the most significant pollutants impacting harbour porpoise. Evidence suggests PCB levels have stabilised since the ban in the mid-1908s following a drop, but are no longer reducing at the same rate (Jepson et al., 2016). In animals sampled between 2014 - 2018, 48% showed chemical contaminant levels well above thresholds for negative impacts (Williams et al., 2020a; 2023). This pressure impacts fecundity and survival, mediated through the diet (bioaccumulation), causing reduced resilience to disease and lower fecundity through increased foetal mortality and reduced testes weight in males (Hall et al., 2006; Murphy et al., 2015; Jepson et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2021). Further, it has been suggested that juveniles are at higher risk of exposure to neurtoxic mixtures of chemicals, at a time when they are more vulnerable to the effects and thus, imapcts on development are likely (Williams et al., 2020b). The influence is long-term and intergenerational, with the pressure ubiquitous across the species range. It is difficult to disentangle sources of chemical pollution in the marine environment. Though it is possible that the most significant pollutants are industry related, many can also be assigned to alternative sources.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PG13 Bycatch and incidental killing (due to fishing and hunting activities). The UK Cetacean Strandings Investigation Programme (CSIP) and the Scottish Marine Animal Strandings Scheme (SMASS) has identified bycatch as the most important anthropogenic cause of death in this species, with 18 animals examined post mortem between 2019-2022 having a cause of death of bycatch (Deaville,

	2019:2024; Davison et al., 2020; Davison & ten Doeschate, 2022; Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, 2022; 2023). In 2016, Northridge et al (2017) estimated total bycatch of porpoises for UK gillnet fishing vessels over 12m to be 1482 (assuming no pingers were used). Taylor et al. (2022) found that harbour porpoise bycatch estimates for 2020 were significantly exceeding thresholds for anthropogenic removals in all three OSPAR assessment units that include UK waters (Greater North Sea AU, Irish and Celtic Seas AU, and West Scotland and Ireland AU). However, there is low confidence in bycatch estimates due to incomplete monitoring across all fleets impacting the populations.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PG01 Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) causing reduction of species/prey populations and disturbance of species. A lack of food has a direct and immediate influence on the individual. Starvation/ hypothermia was attributed as cause of death in 42 harbour porpoise necropsied or examined further by CSIP and SMASS between 2019-2022 (Deaville, 2019:2024; Davison et al., 2020; Davison & ten Doeschate, 2022; Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, 2022; 2023). It should be noted, however, that prey depletion can result from both natural and anthropogenic causes. No link has been specifically identified between commercial fishing practices and the cases of harbour porpoise starvation recorded through strandings schemes. Evidence for the effect of permanently placed ADDs associated with aquaculture includes their potential to affect regional movement patterns and density. Exposure is high in some regions and disturbance has been demonstrated on the west coast of Scotland (Findlay et al., 2021; 2024; Kyhn et al., 2015) and in German water (Schaffeld, 2020).
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PF12 Industrial or commercial activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution. Although when acting independently not all sources of noise are a risk to harbour porpoise, the cumulative impact of activities can affect distribution, behaviours and

	communication of animals (Heiler et al, 2016). There has been much research within Europe aiming to better understand the non-lethal impacts of cumulative noise on harbour porpoise (e.g. Nabe-Nielsen et al., 2018). Pressure expected to continue in the longer term. There are considerable legal and societal obligations to meet clean energy requirements which will result in an increase in the development of the renewable energy industry. However, increased impact should be mitigated through development of new technologies and implementation of assessments of risk and mitigation techniques.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PE08 Land, water and air transport activities generating noise pollution: Vessel and aircraft traffic is widespread in the marine environment, particularly in the continental shelf region. Evidence indicates that harbour porpoises avoid heavy traffic areas (Dyndo et al., 2015) and react to shipping noise through behavioural changes, including displacement (Benhemma-Le Gall et al., 2021; Fernandez-Betelu et al., 2024; Pigeault et al., 2024). Shipping noise has also been linked to reduced foraging (Wisniewska et al 2018).
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PD01 Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure. Pile driving during the construction phase for renewables infrastructure is a known cause of disturbance/ displacement of harbour porpoise (Brandt et al., 2011; Carstensen et al., 2006; Dahne et al., 2013; Benhemma-Le Gall et al., 2021). This pressure may also affect hearing through injury which could have an indirect influence on foraging efficiency (Bailey et al., 2010). Exposure to this pressure is limited both spatially and temporarily, although it may be regionally significant when occurring. There is also potential collision risk with submerged installations, although evidence of risk is limited. There is also evidence that harbour porpoise will be dsiplace during decommissioning phase of offshore infrastructure, although this is mainly linked to vessel presence (Fernandez-Betelu et al., 2024). There are considerable legal and societal obligations to meet clean energy requirements which will

	result in an increase in the increased development of the renewable energy industry. Novel industries such as tidal and wave power also have the potential to introduce new impacts, such as collision risk (Malinka et al., 2018) and displacement from key habitat.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PI04 Plant and animal disease, pathogens and pests. Necropsies of stranded animals highlights consistent evidence of parasitic infestation and infection from pathogens (Deaville 2011:2024) which may have individual and population-level impacts although no such link has been made through the strandings schemes.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PX02 Threats and pressures from outside the member state. Harbour porpoise are still hunted without quotas in the Faroe Islands and Greenland. Limited catch data is available for harbour porpoise catch in the Faroe Islands but the average annual number of animals taken between 2000 and 2023 in Greenland was 2,590, ranging from 1605 in 2000 to 3619 in 2023 (https://nammco.no/marine-mammal-catch-database/).
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MJ01 Reduce impact of mixed source pollution: The impact of chemical pollution on harbour porpoise remains an issue (Murphy et al., 2010; Murphy et al., 2015; Jepson et al., 2016), however, establishing measures beyond the historic ban on PCB use, has not been achieved to date. Further information is required to understand where exposure is occurring to be able to identify appropriate measures.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MC02 Adapt/manage exploitation of energy resources: Guidance for the protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance has been drafted (JNCC 2010a; Marine Scotland, 2014). Marine Industries generate a variety of noise through activities such as geophysical surveys (e.g. seismic surveys (JNCC 2017)), construction (e.g. pile driving (JNCC 2010b)) and decommissioning (e.g. use of explosives (2010c)). As part of the licencing procedures, developers and operators are required to utilise JNCC guidelines to minimise the risk of injury to cetaceans when undertaking such activities (JNCC, 2010b, 2010c; 2017;

	2023; 2025; JNCC, Natural England & Cefas, 2025). The guidelines advise on conducting marine mammal observations prior to and during the activity and, where suitable, utilising procedures such as soft start (gradual introduction of the sound) to reduce and avoid direct harm to animals. A review of the marine mammal observer data demonstrated the effectiveness of soft start approach (Stone et al, 2017).
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MC03 Adapt/manage renewable energy installation, facilities and operation (excl. hydropower and abstraction activities): Guidance for the protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance has been drafted (JNCC 2010a; Marine Scotland, 2014). Marine Industries generate a variety of noise through activities such as geophysical surveys (e.g. seismic surveys (JNCC 2017)), construction (e.g. pile driving (JNCC 2010b)) and decommissioning (e.g. use of explosives (2010c)). As part of the licencing procedures, developers and operators are required to utilise JNCC guidelines to minimise the risk of injury to cetaceans when undertaking such activities (JNCC, 2010b, 2010c; 2017; 2023; 2025; JNCC, Natural England & Cefas, 2025). The guidelines advise on conducting marine mammal observations prior to and during the activity and, where suitable, utilising procedures such as soft start (gradual introduction of the sound) to reduce and avoid direct harm to animals. A review of the marine mammal observer data demonstrated the effectiveness of soft start approach (Stone et al., 2017).
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG04 Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting: The Habitats Directive is transposed into UK law under the Habitat Regulations (HR) for England and Wales (as amended) and the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007 (as amended), which make it an offence to kill, injure, capture or disturb European marine protected species. Similar legislation exists for Scottish and Northern Irish inshore waters.

9.5: List of main conservation measures	MH01 Reduce impact of military installations and activities: The UK Ministry of Defence (MOD) has a Statement of Intent with UK Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies concerning conduct in relation to marine disturbance and has developed a real-time alert procedure for naval training operations.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG05 Reduce bycatch and incidental killing of non-target species: The UK is implementing the EU Technical Conservation Measures Regulation transposed into UK regulations which lays down measures concerning incidental catches of vulnerable species in fisheries, and more generally the bycatch obligations within the Habitats Regulations. Since 2004, a dedicated bycatch monitoring programme has been in place, with both dedicated and non-dedicated onboard observers collecting data on bycatch numbers. These data inform implementation and potential effectiveness of measures such as pingers. There is a requirement for all fishing vessels over 12m using gill nets or entanglement nets to use pingers under the criteria laid out in the regulation. Inshore Vessel Monitoring System (iVMS) devices are being implemented for under-12 metre fishing vessels, allowing data on latitude, longitude, course and speed to be recorded and help improve the management and sustainability of the marine environment. Legislation to make iVMS mandatory on under-12 metre vessels is expected to come into effect in 2024 in England. In Scotland, consultation on the introduction mandatory electronic tracking for under-12 metre vessels was carried out in late 2023. Legislation requiring iVMS for under-12 metre vessels operating in Welsh waters has been in place since 2022. Since February 2022 it has been mandatory for under-10 metre fishing vessels in English and Welsh waters to create and submit a catch record for every fishing trip through the Catch Recording Application (Catch App or Record your Catch). Data is collected on vessel, trip, gear, area fished and catch and can be used to inform on fishing activity by gear type and species. Furthermore, the UK Marine Wildlife Bycatch Mitigation Initiative (published August 2022) aims to improve our understanding of

	bycatch and entanglement of sensitive marine species through monitoring and scientific research, identify 'hotspot" or high-risk areas/gear types/fisheries in which to focus monitoring and mitigation, and develop and implement effective measures to minimise bycatch/entanglement. Currently work is progressing towards development of a bycatch risk framework across all PET species to apply all available evidence and support targeted monitoring.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG01 Management of professional/commercial fishing, shellfish and seaweed harvesting (incl. restoration of habitats). Fisheries Management Plans (FMPs) are currently being developed across all administrations for fisheries with perceived threats or pressures to the marine environment. FMPs are required under the Fisheries Act 2020 which provides the framework for management fisheries outside the EU Common Fisheries Policy. The Joint Fisheries Statement (agreeing the delivery of the 8 objectives of the Fisheries Act 2020) sets out plans for 43 FMPs. Publication of FMPs started last year and is expected to continue for 2-3 years. Some are being jointly developed, others by a single authority for its own waters. 6 FMPs have now been published.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG05 Reduce bycatch and incidental killing of non-target species: The UK is implementing the EU Technical Conservation Measures Regulation transposed into UK regulations which lays down measures concerning incidental catches of vulnerable species in fisheries, and more generally the bycatch obligations within the Habitats Regulations. Since 2004, a dedicated bycatch monitoring programme has been in place, with both dedicated and non-dedicated onboard observers collecting data on bycatch numbers. These data inform implementation and potential effectiveness of measures such as pingers. There is a requirement for all fishing vessels over 12m using gill nets or entanglement nets to use pingers under the criteria laid out in the regulation. Inshore Vessel Monitoring System (iVMS) devices are being implemented for under-12 metre fishing vessels, allowing data on latitude, longitude, course

	and speed to be recorded and help improve the management and sustainability of the marine environment. Legislation to make iVMS mandatory on under-12 metre vessels is expected to come into effect in 2024 in England. In Scotland, consultation on the introduction mandatory electronic tracking for under-12 metre vessels was carried out in late 2023. Legislation requiring iVMS for under-12 metre vessels operating in Welsh waters has been in place since 2022. Since February 2022 it has been mandatory for under-10 metre fishing vessels in English and Welsh waters to create and submit a catch record for every fishing trip through the Catch Recording Application (Catch App or Record your Catch). Data is collected on vessel, trip, gear, area fished and catch and can be used to inform on fishing activity by gear type and species. Furthermore, the UK Marine Wildlife Bycatch Mitigation Initiative (published August 2022) aims to improve our understanding of bycatch and entanglement of sensitive marine species through monitoring and scientific research, identify 'hotspot" or high-risk areas/gear types/fisheries in which to focus monitoring and mitigation, and develop and implement effective measures to minimise bycatch/entanglement. Currently work is progressing towards development of a bycatch risk framework across all PET species to apply all available evidence and support targeted monitoring.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MC02 Adapt/manage exploitation of energy resources: Guidance for the protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance has been drafted (JNCC 2010a; Marine Scotland, 2014). Marine Industries generate a variety of noise through activities such as geophysical surveys (e.g. seismic surveys (JNCC 2017)), construction (e.g. pile driving (JNCC 2010b)) and decommissioning (e.g. use of explosives (2010c)). As part of the licencing procedures, developers and operators are required to utilise JNCC guidelines to minimise the risk of injury to cetaceans when undertaking such activities (JNCC, 2010b, 2010c; 2017; 2023; 2025; JNCC, Natural England & Cefas, 2025). The guidelines advise on conducting marine mammal

	observations prior to and during the activity and, where suitable, utilising procedures such as soft start (gradual introduction of the sound) to reduce and avoid direct harm to animals. A review of the marine mammal observer data demonstrated the effectiveness of soft start approach (Stone et al, 2017).
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MH01 Reduce impact of military installations and activities: To reduce the risk of noise impact on marine mammals, the UK Ministry of Defence (MOD) has a Statement of Intent with UK Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies concerning conduct in relation to marine disturbance. The MOD has developed a real-time alert procedure for naval training operations. This enables localised information on cetacean sightings to be incorporated into the training schedule and for operations to be relocated if necessary.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG04 Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting: The Habitats Directive is transposed into UK law under the Habitat Regulations (HR) for England and Wales (as amended) and the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007 (as amended), which make it an offence to kill, injure, capture or disturb European marine protected species. Similar legislation exists for Scottish and Northern Irish inshore waters.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MK01 Reduce impact of mixed source pollution: The impact of chemical pollution on short-beaked common dolphins remains an issue (Jepson et al., 2016), however, establishing measures beyond the historic ban on PCB use, has not been achieved to date. Further information is required to understand where exposure is occurring to be able to identify appropriate measures.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MC03 Adapt/manage renewable energy installation, facilities and operation (excl. hydropower and abstraction activities): Guidance for the protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance has been drafted (JNCC 2010a; Marine Scotland, 2014). Marine Industries generate a variety of noise through activities such as geophysical surveys (e.g. seismic surveys (JNCC 2017)), construction (e.g. pile

	driving (JNCC 2010b)) and decommissioning (e.g. use of explosives (2010c)). As part of the licencing procedures, developers and operators are required to utilise JNCC guidelines to minimise the risk of injury to cetaceans when undertaking such activities (JNCC, 2010b, 2010c; 2017; 2023; 2025; JNCC, Natural England & Cefas, 2025). The guidelines advise on conducting marine mammal observations prior to and during the activity and, where suitable, utilising procedures such as soft start (gradual introduction of the sound) to reduce and avoid direct harm to animals. A review of the marine mammal observer data demonstrated the effectiveness of soft start approach (Stone et al., 2017).
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG01 Management of professional/commercial fishing, shellfish and seaweed harvesting (incl. restoration of habitats). Fisheries Management Plans (FMPs) are currently being developed across all administrations for fisheries with perceived threats or pressures to the marine environment. FMPs are required under the Fisheries Act 2020 which provides the framework for management fisheries outside the EU Common Fisheries Policy. The Joint Fisheries Statement (agreeing the delivery of the 8 objectives of the Fisheries Act 2020) sets out plans for 43 FMPs. Publication of FMPs started last year and is expected to continue for 2-3 years. Some are being jointly developed, others by a single authority for its own waters. 6 FMPs have now been published.