Reporting under the Habitat Regulations (as amended)[1]

2019-2024

Draft conclusions for the species:

S1349 - Bottlenose dolphin

(*Tursiops truncatus*)

Irish Sea Management Unit

NOT WHOLE UK ASSESSMENT

[1] Habitat Regulations (as amended):

- The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), Regulation 9A
- The Conservation of Offshore Marine Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended), Regulation 6A
- Report under The Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 1994 (as amended), regulation 3ZA
- The Conservation (Natural Habitats, etc.) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1995 (as amended), regulation 3ZA

For further information please contact:

Joint Nature Conservation Committee. Quay House, 2 East Station Road, Fletton Quays, Peterborough, PE2 8YY. https://jncc.gov.uk

This report was produced by JNCC in collaboration with the UK Country Nature Conservation Bodies (CNCBs) and country governments.

This document should be cited as:

JNCC, Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs, Natural England, Natural Resources Wales & NatureScot. (2026). Conservation status assessment for the species: S1349 Bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). MU Irish Sea.

Important note - Please read

- The information in this document represents the draft conclusions for the MU Irish Sea Reporting under the Habitat Regulations (as amended)[1], for the period 2019-2024. These conclusions are indicative only, and intended to help agencies add any nuance they feel is appropriate for country-level reporting. These assessments will not be published alongside official UK reporting. The guidance has been applied in the same way as for UK-level assessments.
- It is based on supporting information provided by Joint Nature Conservation Committee and UK Country Nature Consevation Bodies (CNCBs), which is documented separately.
- The Habitats Regulations reporting 2019-2024 Approach Document provides details on how this supporting information contributed to the UK Report and the fields that were completed for each parameter.
- Explanatory notes (where provided) are included at the end. These provide additional audit trail information to that included within the assessments. Further underpinning explanatory notes are available in the related country reports.
- Some of the reporting fields have been left blank because either: (i) there was insufficient information to complete the field; (ii) completion of the field was not obligatory; and/or (iii) the field was not relevant to this species (section 12 National Site Network coverage for Annex II species).

Further details on the approach to the Habitats Regulations Reporting 2019-2024 are available on the JNCC website.

Assessment Summary: Bottlenose dolphin

Table 1: Table summarising the conservation status for S1349 - Bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncatus*). Overall conservation status for species is based on assessments of range, population, habitat for the species, and future prospects.

Overall Conservation Status (see section 11)

Favourable (FV)

Breakdown of Overall Conservation Status

Range (see section 5)	**Favourable (FV)**
Population (see section 6)	**Favourable (FV)**
Habitat for the species (see section 7)	**Unknown (XX)**
Future prospects (see section 10)	**Favourable (FV)**

List of Sections

National Level

1. General information

1.1 Country	MU Irish Sea
1.2 Species code	S1349
1.3 Species scientific name	*Tursiops truncatus*
1.4 Alternative species scientific name	
1.5 Common name	Bottlenose dolphin
Annex(es)	II, IV

2. Maps

2.1 Sensitive species	
2.2 Year or period	
2.3 Distribution map	No
2.4 Distribution map; Method used	

2.5 Additional information

See Tursiops truncatus (UK)

3. Information related to Annex V Species

3.1 Is the species taken in the wild / exploited?

3.2 What measures have been taken?

a) Regulations regarding access to property
b) Temporary or local prohibition on the taking of specimens in the wild and exploitation
c) Regulation of the periods and/or methods of taking specimens
d) Application of hunting and fishing rules which take account of the conservation of such populations

e) Establishment of a system of licences for taking specimens or of quotas

f) Regulation of the purchase, sale, offering for sale, keeping for sale, or transport for sale of specimens

g) Breeding in captivity of animal species as well as artificial propagation of plant species

Other measures

Other measures description

3.3: Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild for Mammals and Acipenseridae (Fish)

a) Unit

Table 2: Quantity taken from the wild during the reporting period (see 3.3a for units). For species with defined hunting seasons, Season 1 refers to 2018/2019 (autumn 2018 to spring 2019), and Season 6 to 2023/2024. For species without hunting seasons, data are reported by calendar year: Year 1 is 2019, and Year 6 is 2024.

	Season/ year 1	Season/ year 2	Season/ year 3	Season/ year 4	Season/ year 5	Season/ year 6
b) Minimum	-	-	-	-	-	-
c) Maximum	-	-	-	-	-	-
d) Unknown	-	-	-	-	-	-

3.4: Hunting bag or quantity taken in the wild; Method used

3.5: Additional information

No additional information

Biogeographical Level

4. Biogeographical and marine regions

4.1 Biogeographical or marine region where the species occurs MATL

4.2 Sources of information

See section 14 References

5. Range

5.1 Surface area (km²)	50,582
5.2 Short-term trend; Period	2013-2023
5.3 Short-term trend; Direction	Stable
5.4 Short-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Estimated minimum	
b) Estimated maximum	
c) Pre-defined range	
d) Unknown	
e) Type of estimate	
f) Rate of decrease	
5.5 Short-term trend; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
5.6 Long-term trend; Period	
5.7 Long-term trend; Direction	
5.8 Long-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Minimum	
b) Maximum	
c) Rate of decrease	

5.9 Long-term trend; Method used	

5.10 Favourable Reference Range (FRR)

a) Area (km²)	50,145
b) Pre-defined increment	
c) Unknown	No
d) Method used	Reference-based approach
e) Quality of information	high

5.11 Change and reason for change in surface area of range

a) Change	No
b) Genuine change	
c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data	
d) Different method	
e) No information	
f) Other reason	
g) Main reason	

5.12 Additional information

The FRR and present range is based on the Management Units published by the UK Interagency Marine Mammal Working Group first defined in 2015, and reviewed in 2019 and 2023. These Management Units are biologically relevant geographical areas based on best understanding of the population structure of species, considering jurisdictional boundaries and divisions already used for the management of human activities (IAMMWG, 2023).

6. Population

6.1 Year or period	2022

6.2 Population size (in reporting unit)

a) Unit	number of individuals
b) Minimum	148
c) Maximum	710
d) Best single value	324
6.3 Type of estimate	95% confidence interval
6.4 Quality of extrapolation to reporting unit	high

6.5 Additional population size (using population unit other than reporting unit)

a) Unit	
b) Minimum	
c) Maximum	
d) Best single value	
e) Type of estimate	
6.6 Population size; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
6.7 Short-term trend; Period	2016-2022
6.8 Short-term trend; Direction	Stable
6.9 Short-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Estimated minimum	
b) Estimated maximum	
c) Pre-defined range	
d) Unknown	
e) Type of estimate	
f) Rate of decrease	
6.10 Short-term trend; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
6.11 Long-term trend; Period	2008-2022

6.12 Long-term trend; Direction	Stable
6.13 Long-term trend; Magnitude	
a) Minimum	
b) Maximum	
c) Confidence interval	
d) Rate of decrease	
6.14 Long-term trend; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

6.15 Favourable Reference Population (FRP)

ai) Population size	205
aii) Unit	number of individuals
b) Pre-defined increment	
c) Unknown	No
d) Method used	Model-based approach
e) Quality of information	high

6.16 Change and reason for change in population size

a) Change	Yes
b) Genuine change	Yes
c) Improved knowledge or more accurate data	No
d) Different method	No
e) No information	No
f) Other reason	No
g) Main reason	Genuine change

6.17 Additional information

The Irish Sea Management Unit includes a coastal population which is semi-resident in the coastal Welsh waters, but travels into the wider Irish Sea during the winter months. The IS MU estimate of 324 (CI 148-710; CV=0.42) represents the highest reliable estimate in the time series from 2005 to 2024 for the wider Cardigan Bay survey area using closed model Capture Mark Recapture models. The estimate should be considered a minimum given the wider Cardigan Bay area is a relatively small portion of the MU although we believe the coastal bottlenose population largely resides within this area but ranges further in the MU, particularly the eastern half of the MU, including North Wales, Anglesey, Liverpool Bay and Isle of Man.

The long term monitoring within the SAC and wider area suggest the population in the Irish Sea management unit has remained relatively stable over the long term (205 (CI: 98-428; CV: 0.39) in 2005; 214 (CI: 114-401; CV: 0.330) in 2016; 211 (CI: 107-414; CV:0.355) in 2024) based on estimates from a closed Capture Mark recapture from wider Cardigan Bay area (Lohrengel et al., in prep). The 2005 estimate of 205 (CI: 98-428; CV: 0.39) has been used as the Favourable Reference Population for this MU as this is when monitoring of the wider Cardigan Bay began (Lohrengel et al., in prep).

Large scale aerial surveys like SCANS are not as effective at estimating animals near the coast and are better at detecting animals offshore. It is not possible to separate coastal/inshore populations from the results obtained from such surveys. The SCANS IV estimate of the Irish Sea (SCANS block CS-D and CS-E) shows a substantially higher estimate for bottlenose dolphin of 8,326 than the SCANS III estimate of 288 (block E and F) or the estimate of 324 derived from CMR. This difference in estimates is almost certainly due to SCANS IV detecting an influx of offshore dolphins into the Irish Sea at the time of survey and does not indicate a genuine increase in the coastal bottlenose dolphin population of the Irish Sea MU. The UK's IAMMWG advise that estimates derived from Capture Mark Recapture methods, which specifically target coastal bottlenose dolphin populations through Photo Identification, are better suited for the IS MU which represents a coastal bottlenose dolphin population.

6.18 Age structure, mortality and reproduction deviation	Unknown

7. Habitat for the species

7.1 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat (for long-term survival)

a) Is area of occupied habitat sufficient?	Unknown

b) Is quality of occupied habitat sufficient?	Unknown
c) If No or Unknown, is there a sufficiently large area of unoccupied habitat of suitable quality?	Unknown

7.2 Sufficiency of area and quality of occupied habitat; Method used

a) Sufficiency of area of occupied habitat; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data
b) Sufficiency of quality of occupied habitat; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.3 Short-term trend; Period

7.4 Short-term trend; Direction	Unknown
7.5 Short-term trend; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.6 Long-term trend; Period

7.7 Long-term trend; Direction	Unknown
7.8 Long-term trend; Method used	Based mainly on expert opinion with very limited data

7.9 Additional information

Direct evidence of cetacean habitat quality is limited as presently, a comprehensive understanding of the key elements important to the species is undetermined. In some cases, conclusions for species range and population could be indicative of habitat quality by proxy, however confidence in assessment outputs would be low.

The population and range of bottlenose dolphin using the Irish Sea management unit has remained stable overall since 2005.

8. Main pressures

8.1 Characterisation of pressures

Table 3: Pressures affecting the species, including timing and importance/impact ranking. Pressures are defined as factors acting currently and/or during the reporting period (2019–2024). Rankings are: High (direct/immediate influence and/or large spatial extent) and Medium (moderate direct/immediate influence, mainly indirect and/or regional extent).

Pressure	Timing	Ranking
PD01: Wind, wave and tidal power (including infrastructure)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	High (H)
PK02: Mixed source marine water pollution (marine and coastal)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	High (H)
PF05: Sports, tourism and leisure activities	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	High (H)
PG13: Bycatch and incidental killing (due to fishing and hunting activities)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PF12: Residential, commercial and industrial activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PJ12: Decline or extinction of related species (e.g. food source / prey, predator / parasite, symbiote, etc.) due to climate change	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PG01: Marine fish and shellfish harvesting causing reduction of species/prey populations and disturbance of species (professional)	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PE02: Shipping lanes and ferry lanes transport operations	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PH02: Military, paramilitary or police exercises and operations in the marine environment	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PC07: Geotechnical surveying	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)
PI04: Plant and animal diseases, pathogens and pests	Ongoing and likely to be in the future	Medium (M)

8.2 Sources of information

See section 14 References

8.3 Additional information

PG13: The Medium ranking for bycatch in this Management Unit is given as precaution in absence of better information; UK fisheries observations of bycatch have not revealed a major risk however, stranding records suggest some interactions with fisheries consistent with bycatch. These may relate more to the offshore ecotype than coastal bottlenose.

9. Conservation measures

9.1: Status of measures

a) Are measures needed?	Yes
b) Indicate the status of measures	Measures identified and taken
9.2 Main purpose of the measures taken	Maintain the current range, population and/or habitat for the species
9.3 Location of the measures taken	Both inside and outside National Site Network
9.4 Response to measures	Medium-term results (within the next two reporting periods, 2025–2036)

9.5 List of main conservation measures

Table 4: Key conservation measures addressing current pressures and/or anticipated threats during the next two reporting periods (2025–2036). Measures are ranked by importance/impact: High (direct/immediate influence and/or large spatial extent) and Medium (moderate direct/immediate influence, mainly indirect and/or regional extent).

Conservation measure	Ranking
MC02: Adapt/manage exploitation of energy resources	High (H)
MG04: Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting of wild plants, fungi and anmals	High (H)
MG05: Reduce bycatch and incidental killing of non-target species	High (H)
MH01: Reduce impact of military installations and activities	High (H)
MK01: Reduce impact of mixed source pollution	High (H)
MC03: Adapt/manage renewable energy installation, facilities and operation (excl. hydropower and abstraction activities)	High (H)
MG01: Management of professional/commercial fishing, shellfish and seaweed harvesting (incl. restoration of habitats)	High (H)

9.6 Additional information

As a European Protected Species, protection is provided throughout UK waters and it is an offence to kill, injure or disturb. The UK remains committed to the conservation of marine mammals in UK waters and the implementation of measures to mitigate the impact of pressures and conservation measures have been undertaken in the UK and adjacent waters as part of the requirements of the Habitats Regulations. Such measures include monitoring bycatch, monitoring strandings data to monitor current and identify emerging pressures, application of appropriate management measures, and noise monitoring and mitigation with regards to offshore industry. This is reflected in the list of conservation measures under field 9.5. The UK also supports a range of international agreements and conventions on the conservation of marine mammals and the marine environment in general. For example: The Convention on Migratory Species and its Agreement on the Conservation of Small Cetaceans of the Baltic, North East Atlantic, Irish and North Seas (ASCOBANS); the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR). Three Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) have been designated with bottlenose dolphin as a qualifying feature (grade A-C) (see Section 12) including Cardigan Bay/ Bae Ceredigion (UK0012712) Wales inshore and Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau/ Lleyn Peninsula and the Sarnau (UK0013117) Wales inshore. The UK has several voluntary wildlife watching guidelines/codes of conduct which are publicly available however, while these are endorsed by the UK government and devolved administrations, there is no mandate for operators or individuals to adopt them. A UK Cetacean Conservation Strategy is currently in development, due for publication shortly. The strategy is intended to support decision making and identify actions necessary to maintain or improve the conservation status of cetaceans in UK waters. Defra and devolved administrations fund national strandings schemes for cetaceans which aim to: collate, analyse and report data for all cetacean strandings around the coast of the UK; determine the causes of death (both natural and anthropogenic) in stranded cetaceans, including bycatch and physical trauma and; undertake surveillance on the incidence of disease in stranded cetaceans in order to identify any substantial new threats to their conservation status.

10. Future prospects

10.1a Future trends of parameters

ai) Range	Overall stable
bi) Population	Very Positive - increasing >1% (more than one percent) per year on average

ci) Habitat for the species	Unknown

10.1b Future prospects of parameters

aii) Range	Good
bii) Population	Good
cii) Habitat for the species	Unknown

10.2 Additional information

No additional information

11. Conclusions

11.1 Range	Favourable (FV)
11.2 Population	Favourable (FV)
11.3 Habitat for the species	Unknown (XX)
11.4 Future prospects	Favourable (FV)
11.5 Overall assessment of Conservation Status	Favourable (FV)
11.6 Overall trend in Conservation Status	Stable

11.7 Change and reason for change in conservation status

This field is not reported as the period 2019-2024 marks the first instance in which conservation status has been assessed at the national level, meaning no comparisons to previous reports can be drawn.

11.7 Change and reason for change in conservation status trend

This field is not reported as the period 2019-2024 marks the first instance in which conservation status has been assessed at the national level, meaning no comparisons to previous reports can be drawn.

11.8 Additional information

Summarising bottlenose dolphin at Management Unit (MU) scale is a new inclusion for reporting under the Habitats Regulations.

Conclusion on Range reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Range surface area is stable and (ii) the current Range surface area is approximately equivalent to the Favourable Reference Range.

Conclusion on Population reached because: (i) the short-term trend direction in Population size is stable; and (ii) the best estimate for population size is greater than the Favourable Reference Population.

Conclusion on Habitat for the species reached because: (i) it is unknown whether the area of habitat is sufficiently large; (ii) it is unknown if habitat quality is sufficient for the long-term survival of the species; and (iii) the short-term trend in area and quality of habitat is unknown.

Conclusion on Future prospects reached because: (i) the Future prospects for Range are Good; (ii) the Future prospects for Population are Good; and (iii) the Future prospects for Habitat for the species are Unknown.

Overall assessment of Conservation Status is Favourable because two or more conclusions are Favourable with no Unfavourable conclusions.

Overall trend in Conservation Status is based on the combination of the short-term trends for Range - stable, Population - increasing, and Habitat for the species - unknown.

12. UK National Site Network (pSCIs, SCIs, SACs) coverage for Annex II species

12.1 Population size inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network

a) Unit	number of individuals
b) Minimum	158
c) Maximum	727
d) Best single value	319
12.2 Type of estimate	95% confidence interval
12.3 Population size inside the network; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate

12.4 Short-term trend of population size within the network; Direction	Increasing
12.5 Short-term trend of population size within the network; Method used	Complete survey or a statistically robust estimate
12.6 Short-term trend of habitat for the species inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network; Direction	Unknown
12.7 Short-term trend of habitat for the species inside the pSCIs, SCIs and SACs network; Method used	Insufficient or no data available

12.8 Additional information

Abundance estimates based on closed model CMR for Cardigan Bay SAC resulted in an estimate of 213 (95% CI 85-535; CV= 0.497) in 2024, and for Pen Llyn a'r Sarnau SAC 106 (73-192; CV 0.69) in 2023 (Lohrengel et al., in prep). The long term monitoring within the SAC (since 2001) and wider area (since 2005) suggests the number of bottlenose dolphin using the SACs and the wider Cardigan Bay area has remained relatively stable overall (Lohrengel, et al., 2025).

13. Complementary information

13.1 Justification of percentage thresholds for trends

No justification information

13.2 Trans-boundary assessment

No trans-boundary assessment information

13.2 Other relevant information

No other relevant information

14. References

Biogeographical and marine regions

4.2 Sources of information

Lohrengel, K., Waggitt, J.J., Baines, M.E., and Evans, P.G.H. (2025, in prep) Bottlenose Dolphin Monitoring in Cardigan Bay and pen Llŷn a'r Sarnau Special Areas of Conservation, 2023. Interim NRW Evidence Report.76pp.

IAMMWG. 2023. Review of Management Unit boundaries for cetaceans in UK waters (2023). JNCC Report 734, JNCC, Peterborough, ISSN 0963-8091. https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/b48b8332-349f-4358-b080-b4506384f4f7

Bejder, L., Samuels, A.M.Y., Whitehead, H.A.L., Gales, N., Mann, J., Connor, R., Heithaus, M., Watson-Capps, J.A.N.A., Flaherty, C. and Krützen, M., 2006. Decline in relative abundance of bottlenose dolphins exposed to long-term disturbance. Conservation Biology, 20(6), pp.1791-1798.

Corr, S., Dudley, R., Brereton, T., Clear, N., Crosby, A., Duncan, S., Evans, P.G.H., Jones, D., Sayer, S., Taylor, T. and Tregenza, N., 2024. Using citizen science data to assess the vulnerability of bottlenose dolphins to human impacts along England's South Coast. Animal Conservation, 27(4), pp.461-477.

David, J.A., 2006. Likely sensitivity of bottlenose dolphins to pile-driving noise. Water and Environment Journal, 20(1), pp.48-54.

Deaville, R. (compiler). 2011:2024. Annual Reports for the period 1st January to 31st December. UK Cetacean Strandings Investigation Programme (CSIP).

Deng, Z.D., Southall, B.L., Carlson, T.J., Xu, J., Martinez, J.J., Weiland, M.A. and Ingraham, J.M., 2014. 200 kHz commercial sonar systems generate lower frequency side lobes audible to some marine mammals. PLoS One, 9(4), p.e95315.

Fernandez-Betelu, O., Graham, I.M., Brookes, K.L., Cheney, B.J., Barton, T.R. and Thompson, P.M., 2021. Far-field effects of impulsive noise on coastal bottlenose dolphins. Frontiers in Marine Science, 8, p.664230.

Graham, I.M., Pirotta, E., Merchant, N.D., Farcas, A., Barton, T.R., Cheney, B., Hastie, G.D. and Thompson, P.M., 2017. Responses of bottlenose dolphins and harbor porpoises to impact and vibration piling noise during harbor construction. Ecosphere, 8(5), p.e01793.

Hastie, G.D., Wilson, B., Tufft, L.H. and Thompson, P.M., 2003. Bottlenose dolphins increase breathing synchrony in response to boat traffic. Marine Mammal Science, 19(1), pp.74-084.

Heiler, J., Elwen, S.H., Kriesell, H.J. and Gridley, T., 2016. Changes in bottlenose dolphin whistle parameters related to vessel presence, surface behaviour and group composition. Animal behaviour, 117, pp.167-177.

ICES. 2015. Report of the Working Group on Marine Mammal Ecology (WGMME), 9-12 February, 2015.

Jepson, P.D., Deaville, R., Barber, J.L., Aguilar, À., Borrell, A., Murphy, S., Barry, J., Brownlow, A., Barnett, J., Berrow, S. and Cunningham, A.A., 2016. PCB pollution continues to impact populations of orcas and other dolphins in European waters. Scientific reports, 6(1), pp.1-17.

JNCC. 2010a. The protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance. Guidance for the marine area in England and Wales and the UK offshore marine area. Available on request from JNCC.

JNCC. 2010b. Statutory nature conservation agency protocol for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from Piling noise. 2010. JNCC Peterborough. United Kingdom. Available at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/31662b6a-19ed-4918-9fab-8fbcff752046/JNCC-CNCB-Piling-protocol-August2010-Web.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

JNCC. 2010c. JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from using explosives. August 2010. Available at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/24cc180d-4030-49dd-8977-a04ebe0d7aca/JNCC-Guidelines-Explosives-Guidelines-201008-Web.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

JNCC. 2017. JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from geophysical surveys. Available at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/e2a46de5-43d4-43f0-b296-c62134397ce4/jncc-guidelines-seismicsurvey-aug2017-web.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

JNCC. 2023. JNCC guidance for the use of Passive Acoustic Monitoring in UK waters for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from offshore activities. JNCC, Peterborough. Available at: https://hub.jncc.gov.uk/assets/fb7d345b-ec24-4c60-aba2-894e50375e33 [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Koroza, A., 2018. Habitat Use and Effects of Boat Traffic on Bottlenose Dolphins at New Quay Harbour, Cardigan Bay (Doctoral dissertation, Bangor University).

La Manna, G., Ronchetti, F. and Sarà, G., 2016. Predicting common bottlenose dolphin habitat preference to dynamically adapt management measures from a Marine Spatial Planning perspective. Ocean & coastal management, 130, pp.317-327.

La Manna, G., Ronchetti, F., Perretti, F. and Ceccherelli, G., 2023. Areas of spatial overlap between common bottlenose dolphin, recreational boating, and small-scale fishery: management insights from modelling exercises. PeerJ, 11, p.e16111.

Lassalle, G., Gascuel, D., Le Loc'h, F., Lobry, J., Pierce, G.J., Ridoux, V., Santos, M.B., Spitz, J. and Niquil, N., 2012. An ecosystem approach for the assessment of fisheries impacts on marine top predators: the Bay of Biscay case study. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 69(6), pp.925-938.

Leeney, R.H., Amies, R., Broderick, A.C., Witt, M.J., Loveridge, J., Doyle, J. and Godley, B.J., 2008. Spatio-temporal analysis of cetacean strandings and bycatch in a UK fisheries hotspot. Biodiversity and Conservation, 17, pp.2323-2338.

Lohrengel, K., Evans, P.G.H., Lindenbaum, C.P., Morris, C.W. and Stringell, T.B., 2018. Bottlenose dolphin monitoring in Cardigan Bay 2014-2016 (NRW Evidence Report No. 191). Natural Resources Wales, Bangor.

Malinka, C.E., Gillespie, D.M., Macaulay, J.D., Joy, R. and Sparling, C.E., 2018. First in situ passive acoustic monitoring for marine mammals during operation of a tidal turbine in Ramsey Sound, Wales. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 590, pp.247-266.

Marine Scotland. 2014. The protection of Marine European Protected Species from injury and disturbance. Guidance for Scottish Inshore Waters.

New, L.F., Harwood, J., Thomas, L., Donovan, C., Clark, J.S., Hastie, G., Thompson, P.M., Cheney, B., Scott-Hayward, L. and Lusseau, D., 2013. Modelling the biological significance of behavioural change in coastal bottlenose dolphins in response to disturbance. Functional Ecology, 27(2), pp.314-322.

Northridge, S.P., Kingston, A.R. and Thomas, L.J. 2016. Annual Report on the Implementation of Council Regulation (EC) No 812/2004 during 2015.

Pirotta, E., Laesser, B.E., Hardaker, A., Riddoch, N., Marcoux, M. and Lusseau, D., 2013. Dredging displaces bottlenose dolphins from an urbanised foraging patch. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 74(1), pp.396-402.

Pirotta, E., Merchant, N.D., Thompson, P.M., Barton, T.R. and Lusseau, D., 2015. Quantifying the effect of boat disturbance on bottlenose dolphin foraging activity. Biological Conservation, 181, pp.82-89.

Robbins, J.R., 2022. Vessel collisions with cetaceans: areas and times of risk in the North-east Atlantic (Doctoral dissertation, University of Portsmouth).

Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme. 2022. Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme (SMASS) Annual Report 2021. Available at: https://strandings.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/SMASS-Annual-Report-2021-final.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Stone, C., Hall, K., Mendes, S. and Tasker, M. 2017. The effects of seismic operations in UK waters: analysis of Marine Mammal Observer data. J. Cetacean Res. Manage., 16, pp.71-85.

Stone, C.J. 2003. The effects of seismic activity on marine mammals in UK waters, 1998-2000. JNCC Report No. 323. Available at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/bf3ea885-e5c5-4088-956b-4f5ff9ca0b56/JNCC-Report-323-FINAL-WEB.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Stone, C.J. 2015. Implementation of and considerations for revisions to the JNCC guidelines for seismic surveys. JNCC Report No. 463b. Avaialble at: https://data.jncc.gov.uk/data/f7990481-7a99-414c-be04-b972da10c1b7/JNCC-Report-463b-FINAL-WEB.pdf [Accessed 06 Nov 2024]

Stone, C.J. and Tasker, M.L. 2006. The effects of seismic airguns on cetaceans in UK waters. J. Cetacean Res. Manage., 8(3), pp.255-263.

Stylos, A., Akritopoulou, E., Bertulli, C.G., Lohrengel, K., McGinty, N., Moutopoulos, D.K. and Evans, P.G., 2022. Prevalence and spatio-temporal variation of epidermal conditions, deformities and injuries in common bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) in Welsh waters. Mammalian Biology, 102(4), pp.1565-1587.

Tillin, H.M., Houghton, A.J., Saunders, J.E. and Hull, S.C., 2011. Direct and indirect impacts of marine aggregate dredging. Marine ALSF Science Monograph Series, 1.

Todd, V.L., Todd, I.B., Gardiner, J.C., Morrin, E.C., MacPherson, N.A., DiMarzio, N.A. and Thomsen, F., 2015. A review of impacts of marine dredging activities on marine mammals. ICES Journal of Marine Science, 72(2), pp.328-340.

Vergara-Peña, A., 2020. Effects of Marine Recreation on Bottlenose Dolphins in Cardigan Bay (Doctoral dissertation, Bangor University (United Kingdom)).

Williams, R.S., Brownlow, A., Baillie, A., Barber, J.L., Barnett, J., Davison, N.J., Deaville, R., Ten Doeschate, M., Murphy, S., Penrose, R. and Perkins, M., 2023. Spatiotemporal trends spanning three decades show toxic levels of chemical contaminants in marine mammals. Environmental Science & Technology, 57(49), pp.20736-20749.

Zanuttini, C., Gally, F., Scholl, G., Thomé, J.P., Eppe, G. and Das, K., 2019. High pollutant exposure level of the largest European community of bottlenose dolphins in the English Channel. Scientific Reports, 9(1), p.12521.

Mills, E.M., Piwetz, S. and Orbach, D.N., 2023. Vessels disturb bottlenose dolphin behavior and movement in an active ship channel. Animals, 13(22), p.3441.

Luís, A.R., Couchinho, M.N. and dos Santos, M.E., 2014. Changes in the acoustic behavior of resident bottlenose dolphins near operating vessels. Marine Mammal Science, 30(4), pp.1417-1426.

Baş, A.A., Amaha Öztürk, A. and Öztürk, B., 2015. Selection of critical habitats for bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) based on behavioral data, in relation to marine traffic in the Istanbul Strait, Turkey. Marine Mammal Science, 31(3), pp.979-997.

JNCC. 2025. JNCC guidelines for minimising the risk of injury to marine mammals from unexploded ordnance (UXO) clearance in the marine environment. JNCC, Aberdeen.

JNCC, Natural England and Cefas. 2025. JNCC, Natural England and Cefas position on the use of quieter piling methods and noise abatement systems when installing offshore wind turbine foundations. JNCC, Aberdeen.

Evans, P.G.H. and Waggitt, J.J. 2023. Modelled Distribution and Abundance of Cetaceans and Seabirds in Wales and Surrounding Waters. NRW Evidence Report, Report No: 646,

354 pp. Natural Resources Wales, Bangor.

Main pressures

8.2 Sources of information

No sources of information

15. Explanatory Notes

Field label	Note
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PK02 Mixed source marine water pollution (marine and coastal). The general impact of contaminants on cetaceans is well documented, including impacts on the immune system and reproduction (Jepson et al., 2016). The concentration is highly dependent on the age, sex, reproductive state and nutritional condition of the animals in addition to the intake via the food web.Coastal populations of bottlenose dolphin have much more higher levels of exposure than animals offshore and evidence exists for several coastal populations of bottlenose dolphin (Stylos et al., 2022; Corr et al., 2023; Zanutttini et al., 2019). Bottlenose dolphin was one of four species found to have PCB levels significantly higher than other species, which is linked with possible low reproductive capacity consistent with PCB-induced toxicity (Jepson et al., 2016; Williams et al., 2023).
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PJ12 Decline or extinction of related species (e.g. food source / prey, predator / parasite, symbiot, etc.) due to climate change. There is no current evidence for the effects of climate change on bottlenose dolphins. The effect is likely to be mediated through variation in prey resource initially. Lassalle et al (2012) noted that bottlenose dolphin may be more susceptible to a decline in food source due to the required prey biomass for their survival in comparison to other species. However, their varied diet will likely reduce impact of changing availability of species through diversification. There are a few reports of starvation in bottlenose dolphin in annual reports from the UK Cetacean Strandings Investigation Programme but it is not possible to determine whether this is a representative sample of the population and if this is due to climate change of fishing pressures (Deaville, 2018:2024).
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PD01 Wind, wave and tidal power, including infrastructure. Pile driving during the construction phase for renewable infrastructure is a known cause of disturbance/

	displacement of bottlenose dolphins (David, 2006; Graham et al., 2017; Fernandez-Betelu et al., 2021). The influence of this pressure is indirect with evidence of recovery/return once the pressure is removed. Bottlenose dolphins are also at risk from collision with sub-surface marine renewable devices such as tidal turbines (Malinka et al., 2018). Exposure to these pressures is likely to be of higher risk to coastal populations than offshore populations due to higher site fidelity and more frequent exposure to infrastructure developments. There are considerable legal and societal obligations to meet clean energy requirements which will result in the increased development of the renewable energy industry. Novel industries such as tidal and wave power also have the potential to introduce new impacts, such as collision risk (Malinka et al., 2018).
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PF05 Sports, tourism and leisure activities. The impact of wildlife watching, and other leisure activities, is indirect with evidence of recovery/return once the pressure is removed. Exposure to this pressure is limited both spatially and temporally, although it may be regionally significant when occurring e.g. for coastal populations (Lohrengel et al., 2018). Boat presence is associated with a short-term reduction in foraging activity (New et al., 2013; Pirotta et al., 2014), and both short-term and long-term changes in behaviour, distribution and communication (La Manna et al., 2016; 2023; Heiler et al., 2016; Vergara-Pena, 2020; Koroza, 2018; Bejder et al., 2006; Hastie et al., 2006). The grading reflects the highest level of risk for coastal populations, whereas risk for offshore populations would be low. Mitigation exists for key coastal populations in both the east of Scotland and Cardigan Bay in Wales in the form of codes of conduct for interacting with the species.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PF12 Industrial or commercial activities and structures generating noise, light, heat or other forms of pollution. Cetaceans rely on echolocation for navigation, foraging and communication, making them sensitive to noise in the marine environment. Although different sources of

	disturbance have been identified as potential pressures in the pre-defined EU list, these pressures independently have not been identified as Medium or High risk to bottlenose dolphins in UK waters. However, the cumulative impact of activities can affect distribution and communication of animals (Heiler et al., 2016). Commercial activities such as a dredging have the potential to cause displacement of coastal bottlenose dolphin populations (Pirotta et al., 2013; Todd et al., 2015; Tillin et al., 2011). An acoustic disturbance was unable to be ruled out as a potential contributor to a mass stranding event involving bottlenose dophin in the Cromarty Firth in 2021 (Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, 2022). Impacts are likely greater for the coastal bottlenose dolphin compared to its offshore counterpart . This pressure expected to continue in the longer term.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PG01 Marine fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational) causing reduction of species/prey populations and disturbance of species. A lack of food has a direct and immediate influence on the individual. Starvation is identified as an important cause of death for bottlenose dolphins in UK waters through post mortem investigations (Deaville 2011:2024). There is evidence to suggest that bottlenose dolphin may be the species of toothed cetacean most sensitive to resource depletion with a food-energy requirement three times that of the species with next highest requirement, the common dolphin (Lassalle et al., 2012). There is also a direct overlap identified between bottlenose dolphin diet preferences and commercially targeted species (Lassalle et al., 2012). However, no link has been identified between commercial fishing practices and the cases of cetacean starvation in UK waters. Studies have also highlighted the potential for acoustic deterrent devices on fishing equipment/aquaculture to impact on cetacean movement patterns, and in some cases result in habitat exclusion (ICES, 2015; Deng et al., 2014; Leeney et al., 2008).

8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PG13 Bycatch and incidental killing (due to fishing and hunting activities). Bottlenose dolphin bycatch has been reoprted in set net fisheries (Northridge et al., 2016; Deaville, 2018) and studies on the prevalence of epidermal conditions, deformities and injurries in bottlenose dolphins have noted that the most notable lesions were all linked to bycatch/entanglement in fishing gear (Stylos et al., 2022). Current evidence suggest that the consequence of bycatch may be higher in small coastal populations and less for larger offshore populations but for offshore populations the chance of this issue being observed is small given limited bycatch observer effort and the reduced chance of dead animals stranding. The Medium ranking for bycatch in this Management Unit is given as precaution in absence of better information; UK fisheries observations of bycatch have not revealed a major risk however, stranding records suggest some interactions with fisheries consistent with bycatch. These may relate more to the offshore ecotype than coastal bottlenose.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PE02 Shipping lanes and ferry lanes transport operations. Recent modelling of the relative collision risk by species and sea region found moderate collision risk in the English Channel, Bay of Biscay, Celtic Sea, Irish Sea, Bristol Channel and the Wider North Atlantic (Robbins, 2022). The risk of collision risk was also highlighted by Corr et al. (2023), particular for coastal populations with high volumes of recreational activities and/or fishing vessels. However, to date only one animal necropsied through the UK Cetacean Strandings Investigation Programme (CSIP) has a recorded cause of death has ship/boat strike. Shipping lanes and ferry lanes transport operations may also cause changes in moverment patterns, acoustic behaviours and habitat use (Mills et al., 2023; Luis et al., 2014; Bas et al., 2014), although evidence of this is currently limited in UK waters. The risk would be higher in coastal regions and busy shipping lanes.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PI04 Plant and animal diseases, pathogens and pests. Necropsies of stranded animals highlights consistent

	evidence of parasitic infestation and infection from pathogens (Deaville 2011:2024).
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PC07 Geotechnical surveying. JNCC advice on geotechnical surveying covers all marine mammals in UK waters (Stone, 2015; JNCC, 2017, 2010b, 2010c) and several studies suggest that loud, impulsive noises are likely to have an immediate influence on this species, with strong avoidance responses noted by studies (Stone, 2015; Stone et al. 2017, Stone, 2003; Stone & Tasker, 2006; JNCC, 2017; Fernandez-Betelus et al., 2021). Close proximity to noise created by geotechnical activity also has potential to cause injury, although evidence for the impact and level of risk is limited. This is also mitigated through guidance on operations such as soft start and on board marine mammal observers. Pressures are likely to be higher in the North Sea and Celtic and Irish Seas.
8.1: Characterisation of pressures	PH02 Military, paramilitary or police exercises and operations in the marine environment. The general risk of military activities (e.g., sonar exercises) to the bottlenose dolphin population(s) around the UK is low. However, consensus among marine mammal advisers, highlight potential regional risks during military exercises. These more commonly occur off the northwest of Scotland and in the southwest approaches (from UK Porpoise, Dolphin and Whale, in prep).
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MJ01 Reduce impact of mixed source pollution: The impact of chemical pollution on bottlenose dolphins remains an issue (Jepson et al, 2016), however, establishing measures beyond the historic ban on PCB use, has not been achieved to date. Further information is required to understand where exposure is occurring to be able to identify appropriate measures.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MH01 Reduce impact of military installations and activities: To reduce the risk of noise impact on marine mammals, the UK Ministry of Defence (MOD) has a Statement of Intent with UK Statutory Nature Conservation Bodies concerning conduct in relation to marine disturbance. The MOD has developed a real-time alert procedure for naval training

	operations. This enables localised information on cetacean sightings to be incorporated into the training schedule and for operations to be relocated if necessary.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG04 Control/eradication of illegal killing, fishing and harvesting: The Habitats Directive is transposed into UK law under the Habitat Regulations (HR) for England and Wales (as amended) and the Offshore Marine Conservation (Natural Habitats, &c.) Regulations 2007 (as amended), which make it an offence to kill, injure, capture or disturb European marine protected species. Similar legislation exists for Scottish and Northern Irish inshore waters.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG05 Reduce bycatch and incidental killing of non-target species: The UK is implementing the EU Technical Conservation Measures Regulation transposed into UK regulations which lays down measures concerning incidental catches of vulnerable species in fisheries, and more generally the bycatch obligations within the Habitats Regulations. Since 2004, a dedicated bycatch monitoring programme has been in place, with both dedicated and non-dedicated onboard observers collecting data on bycatch numbers. These data inform implementation and potential effectiveness of measures such as pingers. There is a requirement for all fishing vessels over 12m using gill nets or entanglement nets to use pingers under the criteria laid out in the regulation. Inshore Vessel Monitoring System (iVMS) devices are being implemented for under-12 metre fishing vessels, allowing data on latitude, longitude, course and speed to be recorded and help improve the management and sustainability of the marine environment. Legislation to make iVMS mandatory on under-12 metre vessels is expected to come into effect in 2024 in England. In Scotland, consultation on the introduction mandatory electronic tracking for under-12 metre vessels was carried out in late 2023. Legislation requiring iVMS for under-12 metre vessels operating in Welsh waters has been in place since 2022. Since February 2022 it has been mandatory for under-10 metre fishing vessels in English and Welsh waters to create and submit a catch record for every fishing

	trip through the Catch Recording Application (Catch App or Record your Catch). Data is collected on vessel, trip, gear, area fished and catch and can be used to inform on fishing activity by gear type and species. Furthermore, the UK Marine Wildlife Bycatch Mitigation Initiative (published August 2022) aims to improve our understanding of bycatch and entanglement of sensitive marine species through monitoring and scientific research, identify 'hotspot" or high-risk areas/gear types/fisheries in which to focus monitoring and mitigation, and develop and implement effective measures to minimise bycatch/entanglement. Currently work is progressing towards development of a bycatch risk framework across all PET species to apply all available evidence and support targeted monitoring.
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MC02 Adapt/manage exploitation of energy resources: Guidance for the protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance has been drafted (JNCC 2010a; Marine Scotland, 2014). Marine Industries generate a variety of noise through activities such as geophysical surveys (e.g. seismic surveys), construction (e.g. pile driving) and decommissioning (e.g. use of explosives). As part of the licencing procedures, developers and operators are required to utilise JNCC guidelines to minimise the risk of injury to cetaceans when undertaking such activities (JNCC, 2010b, 2010c; 2017; 2023; 2025; JNCC, Natural England & Cefas, 2025). The guidelines advise on conducting marine mammal observations prior to and during the activity and, where suitable, utilising procedures such as soft start (gradual introduction of the sound) to reduce and avoid direct harm to animals. A review of the marine mammal observer data (e.g Stone, 2015) demonstrated the effectiveness of soft start approach (Stone et al. 2017). Habitats Regulations Assessments (HRA) and Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) processes are also applied where plans/projects present the risk of injury, mortality or disturbance within SACs or wider seas as part of the UK's consenting process.

9.5: List of main conservation measures	MC03 Adapt/manage renewable energy installation, facilities and operation (excl. hydropower and abstraction activities): Guidance for the protection of marine European Protected Species from deliberate injury, killing and disturbance has been drafted (JNCC 2010a; Marine Scotland, 2014). Marine Industries generate a variety of noise through activities such as geophysical surveys (e.g. seismic surveys (JNCC 2017)), construction (e.g. pile driving (JNCC 2010b)) and decommissioning (e.g. use of explosives (2010c)). As part of the licencing procedures, developers and operators are required to utilise JNCC guidelines to minimise the risk of injury to cetaceans when undertaking such activities (JNCC, 2010b, 2010c; 2017; 2023; 2025; JNCC, Natural England & Cefas, 2025). The guidelines advise on conducting marine mammal observations prior to and during the activity and, where suitable, utilising procedures such as soft start (gradual introduction of the sound) to reduce and avoid direct harm to animals. A review of the marine mammal observer data demonstrated the effectiveness of soft start approach (Stone et al., 2017).
9.5: List of main conservation measures	MG01 Management of professional/commercial fishing, shellfish and seaweed harvesting (incl. restoration of habitats). Fisheries Management Plans (FMPs) are currently being developed across all administrations for fisheries with perceived threats or pressures to the marine environment. FMPs are required under the Fisheries Act 2020 which provides the framework for management fisheries outside the EU Common Fisheries Policy. The Joint Fisheries Statement (agreeing the delivery of the 8 objectives of the Fisheries Act 2020) sets out plans for 43 FMPs. Publication of FMPs started last year and is expected to continue for 2-3 years. Some are being jointly developed, others by a single authority for its own waters. 6 FMPs have now been published.